


Spearhead

£1.50

No. 387 MAY 2001

ISSN 1364-7105

HERE WE GO AGAIN!



After all the lullabies about Britain becoming a 'peacefully integrated' society, race violence erupts as Asians go on rampage in Bradford

(See page two)

WHAT WE THINK

Here we go again!

Critics of the late Enoch Powell are always fond of proclaiming that his 'rivers of blood' prediction – as they put it – has proved untrue. In fact, Mr. Powell never used those exact words but said: "I see the River Tiber foaming with blood..." He was, as every orator claims the right to do, using a little poetic licence to illustrate a real point. He was predicting bloodshed as a consequence of the multi-racial experiment in Britain. He made no precise predictions about how much bloodshed or when. Set in this context, who can today argue, in all seriousness, that he was not right? As long ago as the early 1980s, events in more than forty towns and cities up and down Britain vindicated Powell's prognosis. Ferocious riots broke out in which the perpetrators were undeniably mostly black. It was not even possible to cite white 'racism' as an excuse for these outbreaks – although numerous left-wing journalists made the attempt. Neither the BNP nor any other so-called 'racist' organisation was anywhere to be seen during these orgies of violence,

Nationalist comment

burning and looting; this was sheer naked criminality, with the British police being the targets, as well as numerous shop-owners whose premises were either emptied or set on fire. Sometimes the fire brigades came in for it too as they arrived to put the fires out. During these spells of mayhem a great deal of blood undoubtedly flowed.

But the establishment of politics and the mass media never drew the proper lessons from this episode. They continued insisting that their multi-racial society would work out happily in the end once these little teething difficulties had been overcome.

In subsequent years they have tried to claim that this forecast has been proved correct, telling us that now things are different and that white and non-white are all living happily together in multi-racial bliss. But the facts have continued to contradict them, even if there has not been a single explosion of big riots in many places all within a short time, as in 1981. There have in fact been a series of simmering racial troubles, sometimes escalating into larger outbreaks, throughout the past two decades. Elsewhere in this issue we have put the spotlight on the ongoing state of race war between Asians and Whites in Oldham – just the most prominent case among many in towns, mainly in the North of England, where the former have settled in large numbers. These troubles have, for much of the time, not been reported in the national news media – no doubt in pursuit of a policy to play them down. But now and then rioting has broken out on a scale which it has been impossible even for these media to conceal. There was a big flare-up in Bradford in 1995,

followed shortly after by two more in Luton (Bedfordshire) and then Leeds. Then at the end of the same year Brixton (South London), a familiar scene of previous conflagrations, erupted again. But once more we were treated to soothing assurances that the multi-racial society was, nevertheless, working, and Enoch had been proved wrong.

Then last month, again in Bradford, it all flared up again. So what happened this time?

By general consent, the trouble started at the Coach House pub in an area known as Lydgett Green. The circumstances were ironic and perhaps told their own story. A Hindu businessman had booked a room on the premises for the celebration of the wedding of one of his daughters to a white man. By his own later account, he saw this gathering as a celebration, not only of his daughter's marriage, but of 'racial harmony'.

But downstairs in the bar racial harmony was not exactly the order of the day. A furious row broke out between one group – described as mostly though not wholly white – and a group of young Asians. As the row escalated, the landlord told both groups to leave. Out in the street it became really violent and, by all accounts, the Asians got the worst of it and were chased away.

Then the familiar 'bush telegraph' operation got going. Mobile 'phones worked overtime, and before long a large mob of Asian reinforcements had arrived on the scene. By this time the original Whites had dispersed, and the mob had no-one to vent their rage upon. So what did they do? They went on a berserk rampage, attacking the pub and some other pubs in the vicinity and setting fire to shops and cars (see front page photo). An orgy of violence occurred throughout the area. Police were called – in fact the landlord of the Coach House had called them as soon as he sensed trouble was brewing. They took *three hours* to arrive. All told, despite the hundreds involved, they managed to make three arrests! By general consent of locals later interviewed in the papers, their performance was pathetic. "Absolutely useless," said one. This was no doubt the fault, not of the ordinary constables on duty, but of their superiors, who had clearly given 'softly-softly' orders to them. They had presumably been told: "Don't aggravate the situation" – which in plain English meant "Do nothing!"

So terror reigned for several hours in a district of a British city. Now of course, there are agonised post-mortems. What happened? It seems that most investigative reporters have been concerned to find out how the row in the bar started. Were the Whites making 'racist' remarks? Of course, every little hack of a journalist would just love to establish that they were. Probably we will never know the full truth because those involved will not want to come forward and implicate themselves. However, it is at this point that we

Spearhead

No. 387 MAY 2001

PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT

Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken as representing the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are of around 1,000 to 1,850 words. *Spearhead* is currently produced using Serif PagePlus 6 software. Articles sent on 3.5" computer disk will be welcome if compatible. To ensure acceptance, however, they should also be sent in printed or typewritten format. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles in other publications should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.

need to stand back a little from the picture and acknowledge two facts that are inescapable.

The first is that, on all too many occasions when Whites and members of ethnic minorities find themselves sharing the same space, there is the potential for trouble. Whoever actually starts the trouble – whether one side or the other side makes the first provocative gesture or remark – this is the *reality*. And consideration of racial problems must deal with realities and not dwell in fantasies about what 'should' be if we were all good little non-racists. Nothing like this ever existed in Britain before the mid-twentieth century multi-racial experiment got under way.

The other inescapable fact is that, on all too many occasions, young Asians or Blacks who feel themselves to be aggrieved (rightly or wrongly) show the propensity to take their anger out on the whole of the locality, whether it be against persons or property. Persons and property which have nothing whatever to do with the original source of the argument get attacked and often severely damaged. Neighbourhoods live in fear for hours, sometimes days. This, again, is *reality* – however much the liberal élite might fascinate themselves making sociological explorations into the reasons for the reality.

And it was reality with which Enoch Powell was concerned when he made his predictions back in 1968 as to what would happen. In the same speech he said: "We must be mad..." and spoke of Britain "building up its own funeral pyre." The nation – or at least its political class – is still in the grip of the same madness, and is still throwing wood on the same pyre. Bradford is just the latest reminder of this. But the political class still refuses to learn.

That race card again!

The foregoing observations provide an appropriate backdrop to an examination of the latest piece of farce to unfold itself in the Labour/Tory mud-throwing that broke out last month in the build-up to the general election.

It all started when the mischievous Commission for Racial Equality (CRE) approached the leaders of the political parties and asked them jointly to sign a declaration that they would not 'exploit' the race issue during the election. What all of these leaders should have done was tell the CRE to 'get stuffed'. However, we could hardly expect Labour or the Liberal Democrats to do this. We might – just might – in saner times have hoped that the Tory leader would have done. But Willie Hague just was not up to it. He signed too.

But not all of his party's candidates were so keen. It transpires that a great many of them refused to sign. In scarcely any case were these refusals for the right reasons.



JOHN TOWNEND

One of those who refused to be silenced

They were nearly all frantically eager to proclaim their 'non-racist' credentials; they just didn't like the CRE's bossiness over the matter.

But one who was a bit more courageous than the others was John Townend, the MP for Yorkshire East. Townend made a speech in which he paid tribute to the prescience of Enoch Powell, spoke of the immigrant role in rising crime and said that immigration had brought about vast changes in Britain which nobody could deny – changes which the tone of his speech made quite clear were not for the better.

The Government, the Labour and Liberal Democrat parties and at least part of the Tory Party went completely ballistic over these words. Willie Hague, reacting like one of Pavlov's dogs to the ringing of a bell, leapt to distance himself from Mr. Townend's comments, saying that they were "totally unacceptable" and that they were in conflict with the compact which he had signed under pressure from the CRE. The very word 'unacceptable' raises certain interesting questions. If Mr. Hague had merely said that he disagreed with Townend, that would have been his right. But 'unacceptable' suggests that Willie believes that no-one in his party should even be allowed to say such things. This gives us some indication of the state of hysteria that prevails in the Tory ranks where the race issue is concerned.

There then followed news that a number of Tory candidates around the country, far from following their leader, had not only declined, like Mr. Townend, to sign the impertinent CRE document but had even made remarks in their constituencies which made it perfectly clear that – for whatever reasons, those of genuine conviction or merely political opportunism – they were appealing to the widespread popular resent-

ment over the handling of the refugee issue. One of them, Mark Reckless, the candidate for Medway, accused his Labour rival of wanting more, rather than fewer, refugees let into the country. Left-wing sensibilities, already cut to the raw, were utterly outraged.

The next thing was that Labour's Foreign Secretary Robin Cook made his quite silly and puerile statement that there is "no such thing as a British race" – a clumsy attempt to equate past invasions and migrations of closely related North European peoples with the more recent influxes of immigrants of wholly different and alien cultures. Cook, just like virtually everyone else in the debate, was merely trying to score party political points – a fact that did not escape the notice of Mrs. Doreen Lawrence, mother of Stephen Lawrence and an 'anti-racist' if ever there was one. She accused the Foreign Secretary of trying to exploit the race issue solely for electoral purposes. For once Mrs. Lawrence was right, but she shouldn't have confined her condemnation to Robin Cook. The whole damned lot are at it – Labour, Tory and Liberal Democrat alike. Each party is pitching its language at what it perceives to be its own particular constituency. In the case of the Tories this constituency includes a large number of people unhappy about the huge changes in Britain that John Townend pinpointed. Hence a few token noises of sympathy with the concerns of these despised 'proles' combined with totally contradictory assurances that the Tories are just as impeccable 'anti-racists' as anyone else!

From out of all this hoo-ha two dominant facts emerge. The first is that the race issue – long swept under the carpet by the legions of censorship and political correctness – has now come to the surface with a vengeance and is going to be a very important factor in the coming election, at least in certain areas. This is to the undoubted chagrin of nearly the whole political class. From the grave, the voice of Enoch Powell is being heard at last – despite all attempts to drown it.

The second fact is that virtually every mainstream party politician without exception is interested in this race issue essentially from the standpoint of whether it will help or hinder his or her chances in the election and help or hinder the political career of which the election is part. As for any genuine concern for the future of our country, this is almost wholly lacking. Such concern is the very last thing that a party politician permits to intrude upon decisions as to what to say or do.

Just one party and one party alone contesting this election has a record of consistency on the race issue, that has endured over the years and is untainted by considerations of opportunism or expediency. This is the British National Party. That party deserves all the support it can get.

A reply to the critics

SPEARHEAD has been criticised for its leader last month calling for the British National Party to make the maximum reasonable effort in trying to contest a large number of seats in the coming General Election. We stress *reasonable* because there is no disagreement over the fact that the new conditions governing parties' qualification for broadcasting time rule out the BNP bidding to get on TV. As we stated last month, this would have meant the party having to fight some hundred or so constituencies in England and Scotland to get on the air just in those parts of the UK. In fact our editor, when at a party conference in August 1999 while still BNP chairman, expressed the view that the actual value of a TV broadcast made it extremely doubtful that the huge allocation of resources now needed to obtain it was worthwhile. We may therefore dispense with the idea that this is an argument about going for TV time or not doing so.

What the Euro Election in 1999 established was that the vast majority of membership enquiries resulting from the election campaign came from the leaflets delivered free of charge to voters by the postal services. Quite clearly, the more the seats contested and the more the number of leaflets delivered in this way, the greater the number of membership enquiries the party could expect.

RECRUITMENT THE AIM

It should be obvious to everyone in the BNP that, at this stage of the party's development, the main purpose of fighting parliamentary elections is not to win seats in parliament – a target that is clearly beyond us at the moment – but to gain publicity and recruitment.

Granted, the better the votes we are able to obtain the greater our prestige and credibility; and this, indirectly, helps recruitment. This theme has been repeated often enough in articles in *Spearhead*.

Also, the same effect derives from impressive performances in local government elections in which, by concentrating forces in much smaller areas, we can establish a stronger presence, canvass a large portion of the voters and even – possibly – win seats on councils. This enormously

raises the party's profile, enhances its credibility, enables it to get a foothold, however small, on the ladder to power – and boosts recruitment.

All of this was spelled out clearly enough in the article in our March issue 'There's no image to beat the image of success'. There is therefore no quarrel, where *Spearhead* is concerned, with the policy of making the winning of local government seats a strong priority for the party.

The quarrel is with the theory that this justifies a vastly reduced commitment to contest parliamentary elections and thereby to forfeit the big recruitment possibilities that are derived from the leaflets delivered through the post. We do not believe that these aims are exclusive of one another.

The biggest undertaking where general elections are concerned is to raise enough money to cover the candidate's deposits and the printing of back-up literature. It is accepted that, with active workers spread out over many areas, we just do not have the manpower to put many people into any single area. And even with a greater concentration of activists made possible by fewer seats being fought, it has been found that there is very little difference in votes won; the areas are too large for adequate coverage.

The biggest task in local government elections, on the other hand, is to mobilise the teams of activists to carry out extensive canvassing and generally establish a strong presence of campaigners in the areas selected. This is possible because the areas are so much smaller. Where the party is fighting a selected number of local government wards, or just one such ward, money is not a major consideration; the cost of literature is not great.

And, particularly when the timing of a general election does not coincide with local government elections in which the party is making a major effort (applicable in the case of 2001 and 2002) there is therefore no need for resources devoted to one to detract from resources devoted to the other.

We should here demolish a common fallacy: that money not spent on a big general election campaign is money saved for other aspects of party development. Things just do not work out

that way. Experience has told us again and again that there are party supporters who are prepared to dig deeply into their pockets to help finance an ambitious general election campaign who would not make that money available for other purposes.

In addition to this, there is a further factor which is more psychological than material. At any one time, the party needs an important target on which to focus – a 'Big Battle Plan' on which to concentrate efforts and prevent drift. The General Election of 1997 and the Euro Election of 1999 in each case provided just that need. This was a major reason why we chose to contest them on the scale we did. And they both repaid the effort.

A PIECE OF NONSENSE

These election campaigns have been belittlingly described as "old-style suicidal frontal assaults" and "re-runs of the Battle of the Somme." In terms of the targets realistically set – and achieved – they were nothing of the kind. They undoubtedly resulted in membership growth. And it is rather strange that the author of this phrase about "suicidal frontal assaults" was heard in 1999 describing our Euro Election campaign of that year as a "remarkable achievement."

It has also been said in the same quarters that it is "crass stupidity" to pursue more membership enquiries than can be followed up by recruiting visits. Well, in the Euro Election many enquiries came from areas where follow-ups could not be done, but the party nevertheless gained a good number of recruits from the exercise. A repeat of this is not likely in the coming General Election. It should be possible to follow up most enquiries resulting from election leaflets going through the post – if not instantly then over a course of some weeks.

For all these reasons we repudiate the idea that it is not worthwhile the BNP making a big effort in this General Election – an idea best expressed in the extraordinary statement of a party official at a recent rally that the election is of "no consequence."

This is defeatist talk at its worst, and we want no part of it. This General Election *is* important, and the bigger effort we make in it the better.

The things they're saying

In respectable, liberal-minded, middle class company there is no more certain way of producing, according to circumstances, a gasp of horror or a pitying laugh than to say: "Enoch was right." John Townend, a Yorkshire MP who is retiring from parliament at the forthcoming election, has just had this experience, in his case a gasp of horror from William Hague.

"John Townend's remarks on immigration and asylum," Hague pronounces, "in no way reflect the position of the Conservative party. They are totally unacceptable." He goes on to extrude the statutory verbiage welcoming "the contribution increasingly made to our society by people from the ethnic minority communities."

That is all very well. But an important part of that contribution was what Mr. Townend was talking about, as Enoch Powell was talking about it 30 years ago. Of course, "Enoch was right," not – as yet – in his premonition about "rivers of blood," but in his perception that mass immigration was the means by which our society would be overturned and changed utterly from what it had been for centuries.

PETER SIMPLE
Daily Telegraph (30.3.01)

"Because we have lost control in dealing with crime, police chiefs are targeting politically correct issues – and there is nothing more politically correct at this moment than race.

"I think society is getting a bit fed up with this. What people want is crackdowns on muggers and burglars. Violent crime has gone up and people are more fearful of violent crime and burglaries than most other crimes. They are the crimes we should really be tackling."

P.C. NORMAN BRENNAN
(director of Victims of Crime Trust)
Quoted in *The Sunday Times* (1.4.01)

Stephen Glover sees a new billion-dollar fortress in Kosovo, and writes about the US spy plane which was forced down on Hainan Island – and doesn't think America is pushing for world hegemony (*Mail*)? He must be losing it.

Check the map. How far is Kosovo from the US? How close is it to Russia? How far is Hainan Island from the US? How close is it to China?

When was the last time the Russians had a military base so close to mainland America? When did you last see a Chinese military aircraft rumbling up the California coast?

American military power follows Coca-Cola and McDonald's as surely as British redcoats followed missionaries in colonial

EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

times. Time for a reality check, Mr. Glover.
PETER WILSON
(Letter in *Daily Mail* (12.4.01))

Whether you look at the size of our armed forces or the quality of their equipment, Britain is well on the way to becoming a demilitarised state. This has profound – and far from positive – implications, not only for our national security but also for our national culture. The tragedy is that our society is now so demilitarised that almost no-one cares. This indifference is itself the most telling sign of our demilitarisation...

A few more years of demilitarisation and heaven help us if we are suddenly confronted by an enemy better armed than the foot-and-mouth virus.

NIAL FERGUSON
The Spectator (24.3.01)

Tony Blair has, unusually, sent a Passover message to British Jewry – the festival is celebrated this week. Normally such messages are sent by Prime Ministers only for Jewish New Year. But there is an election in June and Mr. Blair is taking nothing for granted, not even the Jewish vote. Intriguingly, his message shows a detailed grasp of the customs associated with the spring festival. It all points to the hand of Lord Levy, Mr. Blair's tennis partner and Middle East envoy.

EPHRAIM HARDCASTLE
Daily Mail (10.4.01)

Further to my note about Tony Blair's ingratiating Passover message to British Jews, I hear he submitted a similarly grovelling dispatch to the *Jewish Telegraph* in February for the festival of Purim. Unmoved by the Prime Minister's fine grasp of Jewish traditions, editor Paul Harris returned the missive to Downing Street complete with his newspaper's advertising rates. "I never heard another word," he tells me.

EPHRAIM HARDCASTLE
Daily Mail (11.4.01)

For the first time since the outbreak of foot-and-mouth there's a fighting chance it might soon be brought under control...

In 24 hours the military achieved more than the Ministry of Agriculture had in 24 days in organising the slaughter and disposal of livestock...

The Government is planning to spend billions on public works. But the money will be frittered away by the same inefficient bunch of self-serving *Guardian*-reading bureaucrats who created the mess in the first place.

There's only one solution. Drastic times call for drastic measures. Once foot-and-

mouth has been eliminated, send in the Army. We don't need an election, we need a *coup*.

RICHARD LITTLEJOHN
The Sun (27.3.01)

Every age has a governing creed from which dissenters are branded heretics and enemies of the people. Once it was that God created the world. Next it was that man had to recreate the world as the workers' paradise. When communism imploded in the late 1980s another belief emerged to fill the gap – that mankind was destroying the world through global warming...

There is no conclusive evidence to support the global warming theory. Scientists are deeply divided over it. Most independent climate specialists, far from supporting it, are deeply sceptical. A growing body of rigorous science is showing that many of the claims made to support the most apocalyptic scenarios are demonstrably false.

MELANIE PHILLIPS
The Sunday Times (15.4.01)

Gap years for adolescents are justified by parents (I speak from experience) as a chance for these alien creatures to rest from their academic labours and see a bit of the world.

Equipped with mobile 'phones, they are sent off to gather experiences – and to allow their parents to boast about it.

A gap-year aspirant told me she was looking forward to working with the poor in the Third World. Were there any projects working with the poor in Britain, I asked.

Don't be silly, I was told. Working abroad is a chance to meet poor people you'd never otherwise encounter. Charity begins at home – but not among our gapocracy.

PETER MCKAY
Daily Mail (9.4.01)

The corruption of the British public service has been swift and thorough. The administration of the police, prisons, schools, hospitals, housing departments and the social services is rotten through and through. Britain is riddled with Potemkin villages, and spin-doctoring is now the queen of the sciences.

THEODORE DALRYMPLE
The Spectator (31.3.01)

Perhaps, instead of introducing policies which reduce farmers to custodians of the countryside for leisure purposes while we support a global market, we should be stressing the benefits of greater self-sufficiency...

PROFESSOR ROBERT BRADLEY
Letter in *The Sunday Times* (25.3.01)

"WE PLAN TO CLOSE THEM DOWN"

JOHN TYNDALL recalls Scotland Yard's promise concerning the BNP and considers some of the likely methods

"Scotland Yard and MI5 are planning a huge covert operation to break up violent racist organisations. The Express has learned that intelligence officers will infiltrate Far Right groups such as the British National Party.

"Other officers will tap telephones, open mail and scrutinise bank accounts and medical records. We plan to close down these organisations by using every administrative device open to us," said a Yard source.

The Express (8th February 1999)

THE ABOVE WORDS are not my invention. They were printed on the date given and in the paper to which they were attributed. Should anyone disbelieve this, they are welcome to check the *Express* website or write to the paper and ask for a copy of the issue in question. The words were reprinted in this magazine in March 1999.

The *Express* was in fact reporting on an operation headed, at least nominally, by Deputy Assistant Commissioner John Grieve, one of the most loathsome 'career' policemen ever to foul the offices of Scotland Yard, and an inveterate enemy of the BNP dating back to our encounters with him in London's East End in the late 1980s. The operation was announced publicly in the wave of hysteria following the Stephen Lawrence murder and its cynical exploitation by the liberal establishment as a pretext upon which to justify acts of suppression against patriotic organisations which dared to defend British people's right to maintain their own identity and protect their homeland against invasion.

However, Mr. Grieve's scheme of all-out war against the BNP constituted nothing new, only a re-launch of a practice that has been going on in Britain, and other countries, for a long time – indeed probably back into the murkiest reaches of history. It functions under euphemistic names such as 'counter-insurgency', but its principal purpose is to conduct surveillance against, disrupt and neutralise what governments regard as 'dangerous' opposition – that is opposition which falls outside the normal Tweedledum-Tweedledee spectrum of political parties conducting their phony battles against each other within a controlled system which ensures that real power always remains in the same hands, an opposition which constitutes

a genuinely radical alternative which could upset the applecart of that power completely and bring about a fundamental alteration in the course of national affairs.

LEGITIMATE MEASURE

This 'counter-insurgency' practice is not necessarily always wrong. In a state controlled by a truly patriotic government dedicated to the national interest it is a perfectly legit-



GRIEVE

Has vowed he will smash the BNP

imate measure when aimed at organisations which are by their very nature subversive to that interest. In Tsarist Russia there was an undercover police department known as the *Ochrana*, which carried out surveillance against communist, anarchist and other left-wing groups whose purpose was to destroy the country. *Ochrana* agents infiltrated these groups posing as sympathisers, and once inside reported back to their superiors on all their activities, especially those which warranted energetic counter-measures. Needless to say, anything which was violent or illegal came into this category. However, the function of *Ochrana* agents did not end there; any activity which, even if technically within the law, had the potential to menace the stability of Russian society, overturn the

state and strike at the very foundations of national freedom and sovereignty was considered subversive within the broader meaning of that term, and quite properly opposed with all the rigour that the state could command. With the hindsight of subsequent history, the only criticism that might be made of *Ochrana* operations is that they were not rigorous or efficient enough!

But what was done by Russia's *Ochrana* has been duplicated, both before and since, by every kind of state and in every kind of country. It should not cause us outrage because it is in the nature of politics and the science of political power for these things to happen. All that should concern us is that when such procedures are employed in our country, and (in the context of modern times) *in the service of*, rather than against, anti-patriotic forces, we should be alive to them, on our guard against them and ready to deal with them. In effect, what we have here in modern Britain is a situation in which the roles of insurgency and counter-insurgency are reversed. The insurgents are the patriots, and the agents of counter-insurgency represent the forces of subversion and treason.

But before we leave the *Ochrana* and its function in pre-revolutionary Russia another word should be said. The tasks of *Ochrana* agents extended beyond the mere surveillance of illegal activities. At times they even involved the *promotion* of those activities as a means of supplying the state with the pretext to crush the groups responsible. This brings us, of course, into the realm of the classic *agent provocateur*: the person whose role is to goad and encourage members of the targeted group in activities which, at best, would get them a bad name and, at worst, would render them liable to arrest and prosecution.

INTERNAL DISRUPTION

But that is not all. *Ochrana* agents in Russia were also instructed to act as disrupters within the organisations they infiltrated, seizing upon every issue with the potential for internal division and exploiting it as a means of splitting the insurgent forces and thus weakening them. Before Lenin emerged with his dominant bolshevik faction, the revolutionary movement in Russia was hopelessly splintered into numerous ineffectual grouplets, none individually strong enough to constitute any really serious threat to the state. To some extent this was due to the endemic ideological nit-picking that has

always been a feature of the left, as well as to the natural personality clashes and rivalries that will occur in all political organisations. There is no doubt, however, that the tendency was aggravated yet further by professional agents of disruption as a matter of policy as laid down by their controllers. For a long time it worked. Eventually – and in the unique circumstances provided by an unsuccessful and increasingly unpopular war – it did not work far enough, and the insurgent forces became victorious. They then in their turn became the practitioners – with added efficiency, ruthlessness and diabolic cunning – of the very methods that had been previously used against them.

But before leaving this phase of history and coming to the present, we should note one important fact which bears crucially on any modern study of both insurgency and counter-insurgency. We must not be led into assuming that all *Ochrana* agents employed against the revolutionary forces in Russia were recruited from the ranks of policemen or other loyal servants of the Tsar and his government, and thereafter trained to take on identities entirely different from their natural ones. A good many of them were found among the revolutionary organisations themselves, and were subsequently ‘turned’ by a variety of methods so as to get them to work against those who had previously been their comrades. The same, of course, has been true of nominally loyal and conscientious servants of established states, who have been persuaded to turn and work against their countries and governments and in the cause of those who would overthrow them.

PEOPLE ON THE MARGINS

There exist on the margins of any society disoriented, morally unstable and functionally sociopathic individuals who can often very easily be mobilised for all manner of destructive purposes by a shrewd exploitation of character weaknesses within themselves, sometimes with their not being in the slightest way aware of it – despite their possessing, as a number do, quite high intelligence and talent. By their nature, these people are often rebellious, politically radical and ready to join and become active in ‘anti-establishment’ organisations, but because of the lack of any firm ‘anchor’ in their lives they are prone easily to switch loyalties as mood or opportunity take them. One moment they may be, with quite genuine intent, passionate advocates of, and dedicated campaigners for, a particular cause to which they have attached themselves. The next moment they could well be found working destructively against that very same cause. It could take no more than a personal quarrel or slight, a thwarted ambition or, more sinisterly, an exploited personal weakness or vice. But because of the character of the individual involved, the act of treason

may sometimes not even be understood as such. Where, as in certain types of people, the capacity for loyalty does not exist as normal folk understand it, the perception of a corresponding *disloyalty* cannot be present either. The two have no meaning. Modern political life abounds with individuals of this type. Indeed, they are the main determinants of contemporary political ethics.

Gary Murray, in his book *Enemies of the State* (Simon & Schuster, London, 1993) gives us a clue as to these personnel by quoting a woman of his acquaintance who, like him, worked in MI5 for a number of years. Sandy, he said, “thought some of her colleagues were oddballs and social misfits.” According to Sandy:-

“The people I was working with had obscure degrees, they were lacklustre pedants who couldn’t fit in anywhere else and could not earn a living in competitive circumstances. They were inadequate, failed people who compensate for their own shortcomings by working for the Secret Service...”

Sandy, whoever she may have been, was of course referring to the types of MI5 personnel out of whom there was the best chance of traitors being recruited. Chapman Pincher, whose book *Traitors* (Sidgwick & Jackson, London, 1987) contains many valuable insights into the pathology of disloyalty, says in a chapter headed ‘Personality traits’:-

“A particular aspect of temperament which may well be of significance for the counter-espionage officer is the ‘loner’ syndrome, the introverted condition expressing itself by social withdrawal and brooding in solitude. Loners who make friends only with difficulty may be both more susceptible to clever recruiters who curry their company and also inclined to do their bidding to retain the association and to become very dependent on their case officers. Harry Gold, the American KGB courier, was such a ‘target’, with few emotional ties and a total lack of success with women...”

Alternatively, of course, many of the most notorious traitors were highly gregarious characters – of whom Guy Burgess and George Blake were examples. As Pincher says elsewhere in his book, they come in many types. But it is often the extremes of the type that need watching the most.

THE POWER OF BLACKMAIL

Later, Pincher makes a further revealing reference when in the same chapter he says:-

“Some people have been trapped into treachery through general weakness of character when threatened with blackmail...”

Blackmail can of course exploit both criminality and deviant sexuality. In the case of the criminally inclined, it can be a powerful lever to induce people to engage in sub-

versive work. In April 1998 it was revealed in *The Observer* that the head of Combat 18, described in the media generally as a ‘neo-nazi’ group, had for some time been in the employ of Scotland Yard’s Special Branch, and in that capacity had been acting as an informer on the activities of loyalist paramilitary groups in Northern Ireland. It had long been known in nationalist circles that this man was a petty crook, and subsequently he was jailed for life on a murder charge. How was he persuaded to do the dirty work of Britain’s security services against patriots in Northern Ireland? Was it for money? Or was it under threat of prosecution for some offence or other of which the police had all the evidence they needed to put him away for a long time (which later they did anyway for the murder)?

We will return later to Combat 18 because acting as a snitch against Ulster patriots was not the only service this creature performed for his masters.

Blackmail over homosexuality is equally potent, notwithstanding changes in the law governing homosexual acts. Says Pincher:-

“It is commonly believed that the legal permissiveness concerning homosexuality between consenting adults has virtually eliminated the blackmail weapon, but this is far from being true. The fear of criminal prosecution may have been removed, but the fear of public exposure persists because homosexuality still carries severe social stigma for most people, including employers. This was tragically demonstrated as recently as 1982 when Commander Michael Trestrail, a senior Scotland Yard detective who served in the Queen’s bodyguard, was publicly disgraced. No aspect of treachery on Trestrail’s part was involved, but his career was ruined following an attempt by a male prostitute to blackmail him.”

Many who, unlike Trestrail, were involved in acts of treachery, were homosexuals: Driberg, Blunt, Burgess, Maclean (part), Long, Oldfield, Vassall and scores of lesser-known figures who betrayed their country could be cited. While other factors making for their treason existed, fear of exposure for their sexual peccadillos most certainly affected several of them.

ZUNDEL’S EXPERIENCE

Ernst Zundel, the German-Canadian who has waged a long and heroic battle in the courts of his adopted country as an historical revisionist combating the slurs on his people arising out of the Hitler period, has built a worldwide organisation of fellow-campaigners for truth belonging to many nationalities. His organisation too has been the target of infiltration and disruptive activities by state ‘security’ agents and their stooges within. In the course of his experiences with these people, Zundel learned some valuable lessons, which which he described in an article in 1998, reproduced in *Spearhead*.

Contd. overleaf

"WE PLAN TO CLOSE THEM DOWN"

(Contd. from prev. page)

Zundel began the article by saying:-

"I have often wondered in the last few years why it seems that the racist/nationalist movement attracted so many clearly imbalanced people, who do things which do nothing but harm to our cause, and who often turn into spies and traitors."

Zundel went on to give an example, which was not untypical:-

"I remember the case of a young woman in Toronto, attracted to the movement, who made fiery speeches, participated in demonstrations, was overheard making outrageous remarks, and behaved like a super-patriotic activist. Frequently these people work their butts off, end up in the beds of some of the leaders and, usually after only brief



ERNST ZUNDEL

His organisation has been the target of a number of sabotage attempts by the state security services, using unstable and disloyal people within.

encounters with the authorities following arrests, or even only after police visits, they become spies and traitors and rat out on all their friends, testifying in courts or before parliamentary committees."

To gain some further enlightenment on the personality traits on such wreckers, Zundel turned to the findings of professional psychologists, one of whom was Dr. Robert Hare, author of a book *Without Conscience*, dealing with what he called the 'psychopathic personality'.

Hare had carried out a comprehensive study of "successful psychopaths" – people who, he said, often function seemingly normally as lawyers, doctors, police officers, business people, entertainers, etc. But:-

"These individuals act every bit as ego-centric, callous and manipulative as the average criminal psychopath, but their

intelligence, family background, social skills and circumstances permit them to construct a facade of normalcy and to get what they want with relative impunity..."

Zundel continued the discourse:-

"Dr. Hare says that they are very good at putting on a good impression when it suits them. Even though they are the victimisers, they often paint their victims as the real culprits. He quotes case studies in which outwardly seemingly normal psychopaths were nevertheless the source of most of certain business conflicts. One of their hallmarks is their intemperate language and behaviour, frequently demanding that co-workers who had displeased them or had caught them lying should be summarily fired. Power-tripping is one of their chief stocks in trade.

And further on:-

"Dr. Hare makes the point that psychopaths lack the capacity to impart real feelings to words – they cannot help but be hollow. They are frequently thin-skinned and display hair-trigger tempers. They are smooth talkers who like to impress people. They are convincing liars, tellers of tall tales, and frequently talk at a fast, staccato-like pace, giving little chance for anyone else to enter the conversation."

Zundel continues:-

"Dr. Hare says that psychopaths show no loyalty to groups, codes or principles, other than to 'look out for number one.' Law enforcement agencies often make use of this tendency when trying to solve a crime or break up a gang or terrorist cell – and, of course, nationalist groups, for they have adopted the sinister definition of their political opponents as being no more than criminal gangs! They offer: 'Be smart. Save your own skin. Tell us who else who was involved and you'll walk.' This is more likely to pay off with the psychopath than with the ordinary criminal."

Needless to say, not all of the above is applicable to the precise situations in which law-abiding nationalists find themselves but there is here the common thread of authority harassment of nationalists and patriots and the assumption that their activities are 'criminal' and therefore justifying constant surveillance and disruption.

COMBAT 18 SCAM

I have mentioned the exposure of the Special Branch stooge who passed on information concerning Ulster loyalists, and suggested that this could well have been under pressure of arrest and prosecution for some criminal offence. But in addition to this activity, the man also was a major agent of division among British Nationalists on the mainland. From its inception, Combat 18 sought to cause trouble in the BNP by attempting to lure its younger and sometimes more naïve members into illegal activities and to undermine their loyalty to our party. We even had the farcical situation of C18 people carrying out physical attacks on BNP

personnel rather than on opponents on the militant left. All this caused us undoubted difficulties for a while during the middle of the 1990s, resulting in a dropping off in membership and activity in some areas. I suspected at the time that the state authorities had a hand in it, but proof was lacking until the *Observer* exposure some two or three years later.

I remember at the time telling some of my colleagues that this campaign by the forces of the state to disrupt the BNP by the use of Combat 18 almost certainly had its origins in our election victory in Millwall in 1993 and the decision of the authorities then that, by one device or another, our party must be smashed – "closed down," as the Scotland Yard spokesman would have put it. Some shook their heads – until the *Observer* story supplied confirmation.

DISRUPTION IN THE 1970s

Nationalists should have been forewarned of all this by the experiences of the 1970s. In an article in January of this year, I spoke of engineered splits created by the setting up of new parties, and I cited two examples. The first occurred in 1976 through the formation of the 'National Party', a splinter from the National Front – then the pre-eminent patriotic movement in Britain. The man heading this enterprise, John Kingsley Read, was exposed later as an agent for *Searchlight* magazine on the admission of *Searchlight* editor Gerry Gable, no less. Whether Read was also working for the state security services is something of which we never obtained proof, but the scenario seems likely: Read's splinter operation came after he had temporarily taken control of the NF but later been ousted. In any event, if the government was not paying him for his services it certainly should have done, for he had amply earned such reward. I recall first being suspicious about Read when I visited what he claimed to be his business premises in Blackburn and found what looked more like an empty shell of a building.

Much the same thing was tried again in 1979, when a takeover bid for the NF was mounted, with the late Andrew Fountaine as 'front man' but with an almost certain government agent, Paul Kavanagh, as the real wirepuller. Again, visits to Kavanagh's purported business premises revealed no conceivable business going on there.

THE MYSTERIOUS CALLER

These shenanigans reminded me of an experience I had had more than a decade previously but which I had thought no more about at the time. Sometime in the early 1960s I was active and held a position in one of the tiny grouplets which preceded the National Front. This grouplet constituted very little in the way of real strength but the British Establishment was driven frantic

over the publicity it obtained for its ideas, and a ruling undoubtedly was made that it must be broken up.

I was on my own on the organisation's premises one day when a caller turned up and invited me up the road for a cup of coffee. There he told me that he and a group of people with whom he was connected were interested in the organisation and would like to support it, both actively and with money. The problem was, he explained, that they were not impressed with its leader. Now if I were to stage a takeover bid and become leader of the organisation this would change everything: they would then be prepared to become involved!

I suppose I was a bit flattered by this gesture of confidence, but nevertheless I had no plans for challenging the existing leadership and did not intend to do so. I told the man this, and that was the end of the matter. We departed amicably, with him giving me a card with his name and address and telephone number in case I changed my mind. I distinctly recall the name given: it was 'Denhardt' (rather German-sounding, I thought). I kept the card, as it was my habit to do with any contact that might in the future be useful, but did no more.

Had I been a little more worldly wise at the time, I would have pondered rather more deeply on the question of how the man came to know about my perceived leadership attributes. It might also have occurred to me that the use of a German-sounding name could have been intended to impress me – though in fact it had no effect one way or the other.

A year or two afterwards, and by no wish or design of mine, our organisation was in fact irrevocably split, with my finding myself at the head of one of the two factions. Though the prompting of 'Mr. Denhardt' had played no part in bringing this about, I thought there would be no harm in contacting him to see if there was any substance in his pledge of support. Telephone calls and letters to him drew no response, and I forgot about him completely.

This was until experiences many years later brought the episode back to me. I am now almost 100 per cent certain that the man who called himself 'Denhardt' was a police agent. He had the look, the sound and the style of a police officer – of about superintendent rank: short hair, clean-shaven, tidily dressed and upright in bearing. His purpose was to goad me into an action that would have split our organisation. I did not fall for the bait – though at the time it never occurred to me that this was what it was. I have not the slightest doubt that I was not the first, nor the last, person on whom this trick has been tried.

Mr. GRIEVE'S MISSION

I am quite sure that since Deputy Assistant Commissioner Grieve and his squad made their declaration of intent in February 1999

that they would infiltrate and close down the BNP they have not been idle. There is abundant evidence, some of which I have supplied, that machinations to this purpose were going on for a long while beforehand. They merely came to the surface at that particular moment, and this could be connected with the fact that Mr. Grieve himself is a career copper with an almost indecent craving to bring his politically correct credentials to the notice, not only of his superiors, but of the nation as a whole – in particular the media, which he will know smile benignly on people of his type.

How are we to be on our guard against these machinations. First and foremost, we need to arm ourselves with knowledge and understanding of the basic strategy – something to which I have tried to contribute in this article.

We then need to be alert to the kind of personnel and tactics likely to be employed. Here I will venture a summary of what has been said.

The first truth to be recognised is that, although state security services – most probably Special Branch, MI5 or the two in coalition, as specified in the *Express* report two years ago – will very likely be master-minding the operation, we should not assume that every individual taking part has been recruited from those quarters and is on the official payroll of either body. We have seen how individuals in 'the movement' with dangerous character defects can be spotlighted, cultivated and recruited as auxiliaries in the scheme – their defects manipulated with the expertise of puppet-masters once they are discovered.

And just what sort of defects are these? They will, of course, vary from one individual to another, and we should not expect everyone to conform exactly to a particular stereotype. That is to say that someone who displays some of the features associated with the type – to the point at which we might classify him or her as belonging to that category – may not display all such features. Our appraisal should be flexible and allow for this.

POINTERS TO LOOK FOR

Mr. Zundel has already provided us, in the illustrations I have quoted, with some guidance as to the things to look for. We have also been assisted in this by the observations of Messrs. Murray and Pincher. Pincher's mention of the 'loner' syndrome is of some interest. Some people undoubtedly do become involved in radical politics because of resentment against a society in which they cannot fit. They are to be found mostly on the left but not exclusively so. Those who join the radical right will often be found exhibiting some leftish tendencies. Some – and here I must stress strongly, only *some* – can be 'bent' by agents of disruption because they are prone to harbour personal

hatreds and may contain a streak of hysteria in their make-up. An internal quarrel with a fellow nationalist – in particular one in which the affected person feels himself to have suffered some loss of status or 'face' – can lead to collaboration with the party's enemies, even if it is only unconscious. Alternatively, such a person may *consciously* help the enemy in the belief that, by his own calculation, the cause will ultimately benefit. This is similar to the actions of those who served the Soviet Union because, by some intellectual acrobatics of their own, they were able to persuade themselves that it would be for their country's long-term good.

Pincher has drawn attention to the power of blackmail, and we have looked at the two chief forms in which this power can assert itself: police could have evidence enough to send the victim to jail for some past criminal offence; or some past (or not so past) sexual indiscretion could make the threat of exposure deliver the victim to the control of those able to reveal it.

In the latter regard, I have learned to deal with great caution and circumspection with men in the movement who give some sign of feminine traits in their personalities. Even where these individuals are not actually sexually perverted and thus open to blackmail, they may well be prone to erratic behaviour which makes them security risks. I should stress here that I am speaking of feminine *males*, not women – of whom we would all like to see more in the party. The difference should not need explaining: real men can be expected to react to situations as men, and real women as women; it is the behaviour of the man-woman that is for ever unpredictable and, at times, dangerous.

The party seeks new recruits, and when they join they are welcome. But when we encounter a relative newcomer who has a great deal to say about what should be what in the party we should be a little wary of such a person. What is his or her qualification? What does he or she know? And, if these questions are not satisfactorily answered, where is he or she coming from?

As a final word, while it is important that we recognise the internal risks to our movement as spelled out by the state security forces who are resolved to destroy it, we must at the same time always keep our sense of proportion and perspective. We must not allow ourselves to become victim to a paranoia in which everyone with whom we have a difference of opinion over matters internal or external to the party is seen as an 'enemy agent'. That is exactly what would delight our would-be wreckers. Inexperience and gullibility, rather than treachery, are the reasons for the vast number of people forming opinions which we can see to be wrong. If we think there is something more to such a person than these things, we should not go blabbing about it to all and sundry; we

Contd. overleaf

"WE PLAN TO CLOSE THEM DOWN"

(Contd. from prev. page)

should seek out a person of maturity and experience in the party, preferably someone of proven record and integrity beyond reproach, and we should discuss our concerns with them discreetly. We should not be inhibited by fear of 'snitching' from passing on information that could be vital to the party's security, even survival. Nor should

we be deterred by the fact that we may have some personal liking for the object of our suspicion.

It could well be that our concerns are unfounded, and it is possible that the member in whom we confide them may be able immediately to provide explanations for the behaviour that is worrying us, or otherwise provide assurances that may set our minds at rest.

But we should *not* do nothing. That is the way that treason has flourished across the

centuries.

We cannot say we have not been warned about what the powers that be in Britain have in store for us. And we should not assume that they are bluffing. No doubt they would like us to over-react in these matters, and this could be a reason for the public proclamation of their intentions. But there is enough past evidence of what they and their predecessors have done – both in this country and in others – for us to believe that the threat their designs present to us is ever-present and real.

New party gets the smear treatment (just like the rest of us)

THE 'FREEDOM PARTY', set up earlier this year as a splinter from the BNP, has had what may be its first taste of the facts of political life in mass-media-controlled Britain. It has been the subject of two vicious articles in the *Sunday Mercury* newspaper, a weekly published in the West Midlands.

In the issue for March 25th a page was devoted to two items, which it was obviously the paper's intention to link together in the readers' minds. The larger of the two was headed 'Alert as right-wingers target elections' and sub-headed 'Don't be taken in by new Freedom Party, voters told'. There followed a report on the new party and its intended challenge in Wombourne for a seat on the Staffordshire County Council. The report said of the FP:-

"They have taken their name from the controversial Freedom Party in Austria. Fronted by Hitler sympathiser Jörg Haider, the extreme right-wing party swept to power as part of a coalition government in February last year."

The report went on to say that those in the British FP...

"... believe that forming a party with the same name in a middle-class area will give their extreme right-wing views a veneer of 'respectability'."

On the same page underneath was a report of the latest antics of Mr. David Myatt, a maverick political activist who has been around for many years without ever fitting into any properly organised party for more than a very short time. This was headed 'I'm not giving up, says Nazi-style extremist'. In the report it was announced that Mr. Myatt's latest escapade is a venture into Islam – which he claimed was not inconsistent with his belief in the white race defending itself from alien influences. The report also claimed (whether rightly or wrongly, we cannot say) that Myatt had "shaped the warped mind of neo-Nazi nailbomber David Copeland."

Whilst there is not the slightest connection between the Freedom Party

(British version, that is) and David Myatt, the juxtaposition of the two items on the same page was obviously intended to plant in the mind of the reader that there is. This is a traditional piece of newspaper smear tactics to which nationalists have become well accustomed. The word 'Nazi' appears in large letters in close proximity to the report on the FP, and in the small print underneath is a reference to David Copeland. Good shock-horror journalism, which surely must have earned the person responsible a pat on the back from the paper's editor and perhaps even its owner. Just how much this reporting corresponds to the actual truth is of little account. Smear-by-association has been accomplished!

And again, the paper was able to accomplish the same trick by its reference to Jörg Haider as a "Hitler sympathiser." Whether Herr Haider does in fact qualify for this description will probably be disputed, but that is not the point. According to the *Sunday Mercury* that is what he is, and that settles the matter. So we have the chain of association: Freedom Party in Britain = Freedom Party in Austria = Jörg Haider = Hitler sympathiser. Result? The Freedom Party in Britain are Hitler sympathisers. Just like that! All done with mirrors, as some would say.

But wait a minute – there must be a mistake! The Freedom Party is *not* 'extreme'. It does *not* consist of Hitler sympathisers. The very idea of forming it was to get away from all those horrible media smears about 'extremists' and 'neo-Nazis'. These things have pursued the BNP, but those leaving the BNP and setting up something new and different should be able to avoid them. The Freedom Party is *respectable*!

At least this was the theory.

But what seemed a great wheeze in theory does not seem to have turned out quite that way in practice. The smears still pursue those in the Freedom Party just as they do the BNP.

Oh dear!

But there's more. The same *Sunday*

Mercury newspaper was at it again two weeks later. This time it printed a big spread over two pages, the main item of which contained a screaming headline 'Sinister secret behind public face of fascism'. There followed what the paper claimed to be a 'exposure' of the back-grounds of some of the people associated with the Bloomsbury Forum, under the auspices of which it was claimed the Freedom party had been set up. The report quoted *Searchlight* magazine as describing the BF as "where far-right conservatives and leading Nazis rub shoulders." Again, the claim that those who rub shoulders with far-right conservatives in the BF are in fact "leading Nazis" would be strongly disputed. But what is undeniably true is that it has been from out the Bloomsbury Forum that the main roots of the Freedom Party have sprung, and that certain key individuals in the Forum have masterminded the launching of the FP. Tony Robson, a spokesman for *Searchlight*, was quoted as saying: "The Freedom Party is essentially the idea of the Bloomsbury Forum. It's a repackaged right-wing." Whatever we think of *Searchlight*, this claim by Mr. Robson happens to be correct.

Again, we see the purported association: Freedom Party = Bloomsbury Forum (true) = conservatives (again true) = leading Nazis (disputed). By this process the Freedom Party is connected – however falsely and unjustly – with "leading Nazis". A press smear? certainly! A lie? Indeed! Not fair? Of course not! Gutter journalism? Beyond doubt! But this is the real world in which patriots in Britain and most other countries have to operate. We might say to the folk who have gone with the Freedom party: welcome to reality!

John Tyndall, writing in these pages in January, spelled it out clearly:-

"The truth is that... a new party... will become equally the target of media vituperation as did the party that has been abandoned."

Of course, we tried to tell them this, in that article and elsewhere.

But they just wouldn't listen!

JEFFREY TURNER

The following is the text, slightly edited, of a radio broadcast made on the programme American Dissident Voices on March 31st 2001. One or two deletions have been made to comply with British laws which make critical remarks against certain racial groups a criminal offence. Other texts of ADV broadcasts can be obtained by writing to: PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, U.S.A.

Three or four years ago the slogan most diligently promoted by the controlled mass media was "Diversity is our strength." Posters with this slogan and a broad, multi-hued band of stripes representing skin colors of various races were displayed in classrooms and workplaces all over the country. Clothing advertisements in all of the slick, yuppie magazines pushed the same theme. The idea was that the fewer White people and the more Blacks and Browns and Yellows we have in America the stronger and more prosperous we'll be.

I had friends who laughed at this propaganda campaign. They couldn't believe that anything so stupid would catch on. I didn't laugh, because I had learned long ago that H.L. Mencken was right on target when he said that no one had ever lost a nickel by underestimating the intelligence of the American public. Actually, it's not that the public is stupid in the sense that they can't learn how to tie their shoes or get across the street safely. It is that the public will believe *anything* if they believe that others believe it. That's the lemming factor. And this 'diversity-is-our-strength' brainwashing campaign was pushed hard. You saw this foolishness wherever you looked. And pretty soon it was clear that the lemmings had begun to believe it. My hate mail began containing indignant questions such as: "Why are you against immigration? Don't you know that diversity is our strength?"

Defending immigration policy

This promotion by the Clinton government and the media of the nutty idea that the more diversity we have the stronger we are didn't really change any policies or trends. I suspect that the aim of the media bosses in launching it was to head off growing restlessness about the refusal of the government to control America's borders. Illegal immigrants were pouring into the country – and, of course, still are – and the Clinton government was pretending not to notice, but many ordinary citizens were noticing and weren't happy. The media bosses decided to stifle the criticism of the Clinton government's immigration policies by persuading the lemmings that immigration, legal or illegal, is a good thing, as long as the immigrants aren't White, because non-White immigrants add to our 'diversity' and thereby make the country stronger!

And the government kept doing just what

Diversity is not strength

it had been doing, and the diversity has kept increasing. The consequences are catching up with us, and they aren't good – but the diversity-mongers are maintaining their pretence that we're becoming stronger and stronger by becoming less and less White. A few days ago I saw a brief report on the CNN (Cable News Network) news pro-

Multi-cultural societies, says Dr. WILLIAM L. PIERCE, produce only alienation and the 'every-man-for-himself' philosophy

gramme I watch about the increasing diversity in America's classrooms. More and more of the children in America's schools were born in the Third World, or their parents were, and, oh, isn't it a wonderful thing? Just imagine how much stronger our schools are than they used to be!

Well, yes: we have a lot more metal detectors in the schools than we used to. I guess that's a sign of strength. We've had more school shootings since the beginning of the Clinton era than in the whole prior existence of the United States. The experience of dodging bullets undoubtedly strengthens the students. The pregnancy rate of unwed White female teenagers in the schools is approaching the Black rate. Probably that's a sign of strength too!

Judge supports discrimination against Whites

One of the nuttiest expositions of the 'diversity-is-our-strength' creed I've heard is the one put forth by Federal Judge Patrick

Duggan last December in his ruling on a discrimination suit against the University of Michigan by two White students who were denied admission while less-qualified non-White students were admitted. The judge, in ruling against the White students and in favour of the university's racial quota system, abandoned the old liberal argument that quotas are needed to make up for supposed past injustices to Blacks and other non-Whites. The reason for making the university more 'diverse', according to the judge, was not to benefit minorities. It was to benefit the university and its White students. The real goal of our universities is not to educate students in the traditional sense but rather to condition them to become – and here I quote Judge Duggan's exact words – to become "active participants in our pluralistic, democratic society once they leave."

Judge Duggan believes – or says he believes – that racial diversity strengthens the university and the White students in it by rubbing their noses in as much diversity as possible, and thus conditioning them for life in the New World Order of democracy and multi-culturalism where there will be no White majority in America or anywhere else, if he and his kind are successful. It's worth noting that Judge Duggan, if his name means anything, is not a Jew but an Irishman. Furthermore, he was appointed to the Federal bench not by some far-left Clinton nutcase but by Ronald Reagan. He doesn't have to pretend to believe such destructive foolishness in order to keep his job. And unfortunately there are lots of other judges and bureaucrats, both Jewish and non-Jewish, who believe or pretend to believe the same thing. At least, that's the party line they're pushing. That is frightening.

"Diversity is our strength." You know what that reminds me of: "War is peace.

Cont. overleaf

DIVERSITY IS *NOT* STRENGTH

(Contd. from prev. page)

Freedom is slavery. Ignorance is Strength." In case you don't recognise them, those were the official slogans of the government of Oceania in George Orwell's novel *Nineteen eighty-four*.

Alienation

Let me tell you what kind of 'strength' comes from our diversity. Alienation is the primary product of diversity. People who live in a homogeneous society, where the people they work with and interact with every day are similar to them – that is, look similar, have similar values and attitudes, react in similar ways to events – people in a homogeneous society tend to bond with the people around them. They tend to feel a sense of community. They tend to feel a sense of security from having people like them around them. They seek the approval of the people with whom they have bonded. They feel a sense of responsibility and protectiveness toward these people. With good leadership and reasonably good human stock, the community is strong.

Take away the homogeneity, and you greatly reduce the likelihood of bonding. Instead of a community, you have simply a collection of individuals, and each of those individuals is thinking, at least at a subconscious level: "It's every man for himself." Without homogeneity you no longer have a common set of shared values. Anti-social behaviour goes way up: schoolyard shootings and drug usage, for example. Neurotic and psychotic behaviour goes way up too. Diversity is a real boon for the psychiatrists. Borderline people, who would adjust reasonably well in a homogeneous community, are much more likely to go over the edge and become neurotic or psychotic as the diversity increases.

Without homogeneity the individuals in the society have a weaker sense of identity, a weaker sense of who they are and of what they belong to. They're much less likely to find positive role models among the real people around them: among their teachers and neighbours and community leaders. They're much less likely to have constructive goals or to lead purposeful and useful lives.

Our people's instincts remain pretty much the same even as our environment changes and becomes more 'diverse'. Our young people still seek role models. We still seek to bond with others and to share their values and attitudes. When we no longer can find what we seek among real people around us, many find their role models and their values and attitudes in the artificial world of the media – which is to say, instead of natural role models, they find artificial role models designed by the men who control our mass media: role models

designed by the masters of brainwashing who are deliberately destroying our civilisation. That too is frightening.

You want more specifics? Classroom diversity – the thing I heard CNN bragging about and the thing Judge Duggan thinks is a real bonus for white students – has made a wasteland out of America's public schools and is rapidly doing the same thing to our universities. I'm a physicist myself, and so

prevent, say, White children in a sixth-grade classroom beginning to develop a sense of racial identity and an interest in the history and traditions of their own people? Well, the answer is obvious: give the White kids a big dose of diversity. Put lots of Blacks and Vietnamese and Mestizos in the classroom with them. Then, instead of teaching them about things European – instead of having them read about Greek



This is a school in London at which 'diversity' has been encouraged. On the board behind the kids (spot the White!) are statements about 'black history'. Meanwhile, White children are being denied any awareness of their own history for fear that this might be seen as 'racist'. Young Whites are growing up with no sense of community, identity or pride. No wonder our society has become dysfunctional!

when I was a student I spent most of my time in very specialized mathematics and science courses. But for most university students – certainly for those taking a general course of study – the most important courses are history and literature. Those are the subjects which more than any others strengthen a student's sense of identity and his feeling for the civilisation he has inherited from his forebears. Those are the subjects which give him a knowledge and appreciation of the traditions of his people and a sense of responsibility to protect their cultural and racial heritage.

History and literature suffering

It is for exactly this reason that history and literature are the subjects which have suffered most at the hands of the diversity-mongers. The last thing in the world they want is for White students to develop a strong sense of identity or a sense of community with their own kind. That frightens people such as Judge Duggan as much as his nightmare vision of a multi-cultural world in which a White minority must adapt to the whims and ways of a non-White majority should frighten any normal, spiritually healthy White person.

So how do the diversity-mongers

mythology – about Zeus and Apollo and Aphrodite – and about the empire-building exploits of Alexander and Caesar in the ancient world and about the nation-building accomplishments in the New World of Patrick Henry and George Washington and Thomas Jefferson, pump them full of fraudulent Black history: not just during Black History Month, but every month of the year. Teach them about how the heartless, mercenary White plantation owners rounded up millions of happy, carefree Africans, put them in chains, and made them work as slaves in order to enrich their White masters. Teach them how the thieving Gringos stole half of the United States from the peace-loving Mestizos and the other half from the Native Americans, and about how the Yankee imperialists economically exploited the cultured, altruistic peoples of Asia.

Instead of having them read the stuffy literature of dead, White males, give them a big dose of lesbian resentment against our patriarchal society. Immerse them in Ebonics and the ravings of Black nationalists. Teach them that in music Puffy Combs and Dr. Dre really have it all over Mozart and Beethoven. Make their heads spin. Condition them to become "active

participants in our pluralistic, democratic society,” as Judge Duggan said. But be sure the metal detectors are working, because not everyone reacts well to the conditioning.

Promoters of ‘diversity’ poison

In past broadcasts I have emphasized the Jewish role in this destructive business of diversity-mongering – and, indeed, one can hardly over-emphasize that role, because the media under Jewish control have been the major driving force behind the ‘diversity-is-our-strength’ propaganda campaign. But we should note that the Jews are not the only ones promoting this destructive wickedness.

It really hurts me to talk about this, but the fact is that a very substantial portion of the White people who should be providing leadership for us now have turned against their own race – and it’s not just the judges and the politicians and the bureaucrats. Much of the rest of the White elite – the wealthy and the powerful and the influential – have sided with the Jewish media bosses in the campaign to force diversity on the rest of us. In some cases the reason for this treason is religious or ideological. Although there undoubtedly is a correlation between wealth and intelligence, wealth alone does not guarantee independence of mind, nor does it guarantee principled behaviour. Just as there are blue-collar lemmings and white-collar lemmings, there also are some very wealthy lemmings.

Beyond this, I am sorry to say, there still is much class prejudice, socio-economic prejudice, in America and also in other White countries. Just as there are people who are resentful by nature and hate anyone they perceive as having more than they have, or being more talented or better looking or more successful, so also are there snobs, who really believe that their wealth makes them better and more deserving than those who have less wealth. They really believe that they don’t need the rest of their race. They believe that they can continue to be wealthy and privileged on their own strength alone. They do not see themselves as merely a small and temporary part of something larger and more permanent and infinitely more important than themselves. They are completely self-centered. They support the open-borders policy being pushed by liberals and the Jewish media because it ensures that they will have not only cheaper labor in their factories but also a steady supply of gardeners for their estates; cooks and cleaning women for their mansions; chauffeurs for their limousines. Their attitude is that the competition from non-Whites will keep the working-class Whites in their place and compel them to work a little harder for a little less pay.

Even the prospect of large-scale miscegenation does not displease them. Blending the non-wealthy Whites with the non-

Whites to produce a coffee-colored working class increases the distance between the masses and the elite, and magnifies their own sense of superiority. In other words, they believe, with Judge Duggan, that conditioning for life in a “multi-cultural, democratic” world is what’s good for the *hoi polloi* – but of course, not for the elite!

We’re all familiar with the phrase ‘limousine liberal’. Unfortunately, it’s not just wealthy liberals who believe that the social experiments they’re perfectly willing to impose on working-class and middle-class Whites shouldn’t be imposed on them and their rich friends. There are all too many rich conservatives with the same attitude. For them, pushing for more diversity in America means being able to wrap themselves in the cloak of Political Correctness and having a favorable press – or at least, avoiding the unfavorable press which is an ever-present hazard for rich conservatives who do not support Politically Correct policies. Just like the rich liberals, their attitude is: Yes, indeed, force the working-class White kids to go to school with Blacks and mestizos if that’s what the Jewish media demand, but my kids will go to private schools in Switzerland with personal bodyguards.

Race above class

I’m sure that wealthy people weren’t always inclined toward race treason the way so many today are. In normal times the wealthy were much more connected to the other social classes. The wealthy may have been selfish, they may have been unfair, but they understood that they were part of the race. They understood that their fate was tied to that of the other social classes. They were at least as concerned about the fate of the nation, the fate of the race, as any member of the middle class. They identified with their nation and with their race. But today most of them do not. They are as alienated as everyone else. The attitude of the wealthy, like that of the other classes, has become: “It’s every man for himself.”

And when I imagine myself in their shoes, I think I can understand that attitude. They look at the absolutely insane behaviour of our society, of our government, and most of our people. It scares the hell out of them, just the way it does any non-lemming, any person still able to think for himself, regardless of his social class. They see the government refusing to enforce its own immigration laws. They see our cities filling up with non-Whites from the Third World, and nobody’s doing anything and nobody’s doing anything to stop it. The media are telling everyone it’s wonderful that we’re getting so much more ‘diversity’. The government is telling everyone it’s wonderful. The Christian preachers are

telling everyone it’s wonderful. And the lemmings are parroting what they hear: “Isn’t it wonderful that we’re getting so much more diversity!”

The wealthy man observes this insanity, and he thinks to himself: “Everyone else may be crazy, but I don’t have to let this insanity destroy me and my family. I’ll just use my wealth to insulate myself from it. Why should I worry what all of these insane people do to themselves, as long as I can escape from it myself? Our civilisation is sinking fast, but fortunately I have a lifeboat, and it’s every man for himself.”

Mecca of ‘diversity’

And I must admit that I have come close to thinking that way myself. I took a trip out to Los Angeles not so long ago – the first time I’d been there since I was a graduate student at Caltech back in the 1950s – and let me tell you: if you like ‘diversity’, Los Angeles is the place for you to be. What a cesspit of ‘diversity’! The entire infrastructure out there is non-White: the cab drivers and the bus drivers and the sales clerks and the waiters and the cooks and the road crews and all of the hotel and motel workers. About the only White people I saw were a few men in business suits carrying briefcases. And these few Whites seem to have no clue as to how vulnerable they are. They think everything is normal, the way it ought to be.

Anyway, you see people of otherwise normal intelligence mindlessly parroting such idiotic nonsense as “diversity is our strength” while the ravages of diversity are so apparent all around them, and your first impulse is just to give up. You think to yourself: a race so stupid, so blind, so easily and willingly manipulated and controlled, doesn’t deserve to survive. But then you remember: Hey, I’m part of that race. I’m not wealthy enough to have my own lifeboat, and most of you aren’t either. We aren’t able to insulate ourselves with money from the insanity all around us. If the ship sinks, we drown – or get eaten by the sharks. We don’t have the luxury of being able to look down our noses at the foolish behaviour of the rest of our people. We are obliged to do something to change that behaviour. If we want to save ourselves, we must compel many others to save themselves as well, whether they want to or not.

When a man wants to save himself, the first thing he must do is try to understand his situation. When he wants to help others to save themselves, the first thing he must do is help them understand their situation. That is what we in the National Alliance are trying to do: we are trying to help all of our people understand their situation. That’s a difficult task, but also a necessary task. You can help with that work too. It is your responsibility to help.

SHADOW OF DEATH OVER THE COUNTRYSIDE

ALMOST seventy years ago, Stanley Baldwin spoke of the following:-

"The sounds of England, the tinkle of the hammer on the anvil in the country smithy, the cornrake on a dewy morning, the sound of the scythe against the whetstone, and the sight of a plough team coming over the brow of a hill, the sight that has been seen in England since England was a land, and may be seen in England long after the Empire has perished and every works in England has ceased to function."

How well does Baldwin's prediction of the future for the British countryside bear up? Well, the Empire is long gone and while the free-market Thatcherites had a damned good go at closing every works in Britain they didn't quite succeed in shutting them all. But today the time-honoured vision of the eternal Britain has been replaced by the fouler sights, smells and sounds of a self-inflicted calamity.

So Baldwin was quite poetic but ultimately wrong, and rural Britain is to be forced into change, by a combination of market forces and disease. Rider Haggard and Henry Williamson were to be rather more correct with their regretful prophesies that retribution would eventually come to a country that despoiled its land and people. And so it has proved. The sealed-off countryside seems in tune with New Labour's despotic streak – as if it were not bad enough having a finance-driven one-party state, we now have to put up with police on roped-off roads, like something out of a tinpot African or South American dictatorship. By the way, the risk of transmission of foot-and-mouth from a walker or tourist not in regular contact with animals is minimal.

MAKING THINGS WORSE

Though we have naturally not been informed of the fact by 'official channels', burning cattle and sheep on vast pyres is actually quite a good way of spreading the virus. The tame scientists and vets of MAFF (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food)

IAN BUCKLEY asks:
Is the farm crisis brought on by New Labour's handling of the foot-and-mouth disease the result just of the usual incompetence or is it something worse?

know all about this, of course. But they have kept to the silence imposed by official *diktat* – for otherwise they would rapidly become unemployed scientists!

Oddly enough, in the Victorian era foot-and-mouth disease wasn't any great danger. In those days the complaint was known as 'murrain' and was cured quite easily by careful husbandry and application of zinc oxide. The death rate was under 1 per cent – a big difference from the 100-per-cent death rate of today – even for uninfected animals – caused by the ghoulsh mass slaughter perpetrated by the Ministry of Agriculture. This policy can be seen as even more ridiculous when one considers that vaccine has been available, but unused. The ostensible reason given has been that this would endanger food exports. But what on earth is the point of exporting, when imports and exports roughly balance out? Why is there such a bias against self-sufficiency, especially when foot-and-mouth disease was brought into this country with imported meat from China, which ended up in pigswill? The public have never heard of autarchy, and it's a dirty word to the android civil servants of the Treasury.

It's more than just agriculture, you see. It's the failure of an entire political class and system, a system which has abandoned its people and sold out to 'the market'. As Hugo Young has commented: "Gazing up at Big Ben

these past few years, I've begun to see it as the icon of a theme park. Is that where power in Britain actually

resides?.. The big stuff is decided by a tiny cabal in the light of agreements and forces and networks and markets..."

Hm – arch-liberal begins to sound like a nationalist! Strange times, indeed!

ORIGINS IN 'FREE MARKET'

As with BSE, when one looks closely, all details of the current outbreak are directly related to the manic free-marketeering inflicted on this country for two decades. The practice of making pigswill from catering waste should have been outlawed under recommendations made in the wake of the previous BSE disaster. Instead, the men from the Ministry decided the cost would be prohibitive, thus unwittingly unleashing another highly expensive disaster. If this were a fictional novel, everyone would think it was too far-fetched to be published.

Tons of illegal and contaminated meat arrives every week through the ports, and especially the airports: a trade which has carried on all through the foot-and-mouth outbreak. The desire to make a quick buck overrides all other considerations. Farming in the globalised economy, against cut-price imports, is not healthy competition but rotten competition. When margins are so tight, a trivial disease can become a deadly matter. A few farmers may have made foolish decisions, but on the whole they have been forced into an unenviable position, trapped into a corner by forces they mostly don't understand.

The larger farmers may now be reduced to dependency on state aid and grants, while it may be the final end of hundreds of smaller farms, including many which have been run by the same families for centuries. This is not to mention the hauliers, dairy workers, auctioneers, shopkeepers and hoteliers who'll all be badly affected by the debacle. Is it all an accident – or is there a more sinister explanation?

As ever, opinions will be evenly divided between the cock-up and



More headed for the slaughter. One gets the impression that New Labour would not give a damn if Britain's entire farming industry were destroyed.

conspiracy view of events. Maybe the conspiratorially-minded will see it as revenge for the fuel protest and Countryside Marches. Those who fondly imagine that such things don't happen should consider the example of the miners, when an entire industry was virtually destroyed for no compelling economic reason but just to satisfy a desire for vengeance amongst the 'high ups' in the Tory Party.

FAST TRACK TO A THIRD WORLD ECONOMY

Not many readers will be familiar with Broadway Market in Liverpool – and certainly fewer still of the 'security' officials who routinely leaf through these pages as part of their job will know the place. When I pass through there, I'm always reminded of a photo-feature an Australian friend

sent to me. This showed two pictures of Singapore, one old and one new: one of shacks and the other of gleaming skyscrapers. The headline above read: "In the time it took for Singapore to go from this to this, Australia went the other way." I think this sums up the situation perfectly.

The inhabitants of the Liverpool inner suburbs are generally crucified by debt, and die eight years earlier than those of the favoured areas of South East England, where the media and public relations types dwell. The self-same system degrades and destroys both the farm animals and people of Britain. It's not the cattle and sheep who are diseased; it's finance capitalism that's pox-ridden and useless. Surely the time grows near when it will join its communist twin in dustbin of history. And the sooner the better!

TO THE VOTERS OF NORTHERN IRELAND

Ladies and Gentlemen of Ulster, our loyal British brethren across the Irish Sea, very soon you will be invited to cast your votes in a general election. During the campaigning you will hear countless references to what has been called the 'Peace Process', and many times it will be urged upon you that this fiction should be preserved at all costs.

But the truth is that what *they* call the 'Peace Process' has nothing to do with peace. It is merely a licence for IRA/Sinn Fein to wage war on you on their own terms. For them, peace will come only when the British Government signs away the last vestiges of your nationhood and dragoons you into a united Ireland. Meanwhile the double-talk will go on. A hint at 'conciliation' by Adams will be followed by yet more concessions from Westminster, and the reality will be that your own position will continue to be weakened until no defences remain.

Since the so-called 'Good Friday Agreement' – which was no agreement at all but just a New Labour bodge – scores of hardened criminals have been set free to carry on the terror, while others have been given positions in your provincial government. And what has IRA/Sinn Fein given you in return? Absolutely nothing! Yet it is hard to blame them for this. With Blair & Co. they know they are "on a roll" where, with a bit of patience on their part, everything they demand will fall into their hands before long – not surprising when you reflect that a united Ireland has been on Labour's agenda for as far back as we can remember.

In this election there will be several candidates determined to resist further encroachment on your nationhood, further threats to your freedoms and the eventual annexation of Ulster by the Irish Republic. Support them wholeheartedly. Only the people of Northern Ireland themselves can say when they have had enough. We hope and we believe that you will!

GEORGE CURTIS

IT IS GOOD that we exchange opinions and, maybe just occasionally some angry words, about that greatest of all national treasures, our literature in the English language. Note please those two last words. Translations of Tolstoy, Balzac, Chekhov, de Maupassant and Schiller may be great fun but they can never be English literature. Even my cherished 1898 rendition of the *Nibelungen Lied* does not qualify, though I rate the poetry to be of a higher standard than most of the insipid tripe written today. And, with all due respect to our Australian contributor, the construction of some sort of international novelists' major league would seem to be a particularly fruitless exercise.

There's nothing comparable to English Lit.

COLIN VERNON joins the debate

The serious literature student must, of course, begin with *Beowulf* and work his way through to Chaucer, Shakespeare, Addison, Johnson, Thackeray and so on.

A man's own dinner is to himself so important that he cannot bring himself to believe that it is a matter utterly indifferent to everyone else.
(Anthony Trollope, *Framley Parsonage*)

And, even if it is a labour of love, the going will be heavy at times. Another fascinating approach – the one taken here – is to stay with the novel and marvel at its development. In this study

To be robbed of one's grievance is the last and foulest wrong – a wrong under which the most enduring temper will at last yield and become soured – by which the strongest back will be broken.
(Anthony Trollope, *The Belton Estate*)

we should not, I feel, make so bold as to declare that so-and-so is our greatest novelist. It was, after all, Macaulay who declared Goldsmith's *Vicar of Wakefield* to be "...one of the worst (novels) that was ever constructed," while Goethe acclaimed it as "One of the best novels that has ever been written." Some of Nigel Jackson's favourites are anathema to me. Melville's style is such that I was unable to get through *Moby Dick*, though I readily accept that many have found it

enjoyable to read. Conrad was flung at us in school and never could I understand why.

ENTERTAINMENT VALUE

Fiction should be judged, in the broadest sense, by its entertainment value. While engrossed in a well-told tale we may pause to admire, and sometimes deplore, the author's style, commend the skill of his characterisation, and maybe award some marks for construction and innovation. Entertainment should not be confused with amusement. Our human emotions allow us to explore the depths of tragedy, such as Eliot's *Mill on the Floss* or Hardy's *Tess*, and still allow that our time was profitably and agreeably

gasp, and if they got them they were doing a good job.

The true novel, the antics of fictional folk told in prose, begins life in the glorious 18th Century. Defoe, thanks to

That such a man should write on Art may be very well, but that he should preach to us on morals or political economy is hardly to be borne. (Anthony Trollope on John Ruskin, *Fortnightly Review*, July 1865)

Robinson Crusoe, springs to mind, but the masters of the genre were undoubtedly Richardson, Fielding and Smollet. Most of my antiquated reference books aver that Richardson's *Clarissa* is the longest novel ever written, but maybe that dubious distinction no longer applies. And, importantly, mere men tend to forget that Fanny Burney's *Evelina* (1778) gives us an excellent overview of life in the writer's time. In fact, there is a strong case for suggesting that ladies with 'novel ideas' dominated the first seven decades of the century which followed.

JANE AUSTEN

Of these, Jane Austen is incomparable and, in making this assertion, I know I will not be assailed by either of *Spearhead's* distinguished contributors. Consider that *Pride and Prejudice* was

There are some kindly-hearted, soft-minded parents, in whose estimation not to have fallen into disgrace shows the highest merit on the part of their children. (Anthony Trollope, *Castle Richmond*)

published two years before Waterloo, and that its slyly fabricated wit remains unequalled to this day. From Jane's rural Hampshire we can move north to Haworth in Yorkshire, to that desolate moorland parsonage where three lonely girls put pen to paper, where Charlotte Bronte literally gave us her soul in the immortal *Jane Eyre*, and where Emily composed that blockbuster of dark passion and evil doings, *Wuthering Heights*. Visit the place if you can, though

In these days, a man is nobody unless his biography is kept so far posted up so that it may be ready for the national breakfast table on the morning after his demise. (Anthony Trollope, *Doctor Thorne*)

on a warm summer's day it is hard to imagine the hardships of former years. And you can still call in at the little pharmacy where, it is alleged, bad brother Branwell bought his dope! Elizabeth Gaskell was Charlotte's biographer and, in her own right, this lady gave us several eminently readable novels: *North and South* and *Wives and Daughters* can be recommended, skill-



SIR WALTER SCOTT
Formidable brain and true compassion

fully depicting, as they do, life in the mid-19th century. Finally, Eliot's mid-Victorian masterpieces, *Silas Marner* and *Middlemarch*, cannot be overlooked, though her work was uneven at times.

GREATNESS OF SCOTT

Jane Austen rightly admired her sometime contemporary Sir Walter Scott, the genius who turned from narrative poetry to become the supreme architect of the historical novel. *Spearhead* has already published an appreciation of this great writer so that, on this occasion, it will suffice to say that literature has

It is the necessary nature of a political party in this country to avoid, as long as it can be avoided, the consideration of any question which involves a great change... The best carriage horses are those which can most steadily hold back against the coach as it trundles down the hill. (Anthony Trollope, *Phineas Redux*)

never known a more formidable brain nor a more truly compassionate human being. From the many other worthwhile male contenders, it is Dickens (for character drawing) and Trollope (for the cutting turn of phrase) who stand out from the crowd. Unfortunately the latter admitted, perhaps rather foolishly, that

he was wont to set himself a stint, a target of writing a given number of words in an allotted time, which tended to under-value work of outstanding quality. As I implied earlier, we each have our preferences, and that is as it should be. However, I believe that, in *The Last Chronicle of Barset*, the interview between the impoverished Reverend Crawley and his Bishop, abetted if scarcely aided by the bishop's monstrous spouse, comes close to literary perfection. Then, as we approach the end of the century, we encounter the strange gloomy figure of Thomas Hardy and his Wessex tales, the ultimate story, *Jude the Obscure*, being perhaps the gloomiest of all.

If your literary taste leans towards disjointed rambling rather than polished prose, and if your thirst for entertaining is satisfied by the excruciatingly boring adventures of an Irish Jew in Dublin, then you may feel at home with Joyce's *Ulysses*. Here and there we find flashes of wit coupled with some obscenity, though a diligent schoolboy delving twelve hours a day, seven days a week, would be hard put to find anything usefully erotic. And yet for years the book was banned. Maybe that helped to save it from oblivion. A later book, *Finnegan's Wake*, is without merit.

There are plans afoot to deprive our children of their birthright, to sink the beauty of our literary heritage without trace; schemes to replace Chaucer and Tennyson with *Brookside* and *East-Enders*. Unfortunately, your contributor and our Editor risk prosecution if we are overly specific as to the identity of these planners! We have, of course, been assured that none of this will happen, but then how many times have we been so assured in the past? So, if it comes to the crunch, we may find that it is only in the home that we will be able to pass on the miracle of our British culture to future generations. This is a serious responsibility. We cannot afford to let them down.

EDITOR'S NOTE: It is now necessary to bring to an end this very interesting exchange of opinions on literature. *Spearhead* is primarily a journal of political comment. It allows space for contributions on other matters, including those within the cultural field, that we consider to have an important bearing on national and world politics. But we are always constrained to retain proportion in these things, so that our essential political role is not lost sight of.

We do intend in a near-future issue to publish an item on the Russian writer Alexander Solzhenitsyn, but this falls outside the debate of which the foregoing article has been part.

In the meantime, we are grateful to those who have contributed to the debate.

MADHOUSE BRITAIN

AT A TIME when really serious crime is getting completely out of control, stretching police resources past breaking point, London's Met has introduced 'Operation Poet'. Under this scheme officers are sent to dine at 'ethnic' restaurants throughout the Metropolis in order to snoop on conversations of other customers so as to pick up any 'racist' comments levelled at staff.

* * *

TRAIN DRIVER Phil Sullivan stopped his train at a level crossing near Cardiff to make a citizen's arrest of two youngsters who were playing 'chicken' on the line. He grabbed one by the collar and handed him to the police. Officers at the station freed the boy after questioning and then arrested and prosecuted Phil instead. The court threw the case out but not before it had cost the taxpayers £5,000.

* * *

A **SCHOOLBOY** in Ipswich was subjected to a series of taunts by an Asian fellow pupil on account of his being overweight. Eventually, he ran out of patience and punched his tormentor. But what really got him into trouble was that he made reference to the latter's racial background while doing so. The boy now faces criminal charges at the High Court in London this month. The bill to the taxpayer has been estimated at £25,000.

* * *

KENT COUNTY COUNCIL actually has some sane people in its ranks. Last year it re-wrote the schools curriculum for the

county so as to provide guidelines in it supporting marriage and patriotism. It will not surprise readers to hear that the Labour



BWFC Football in the Community are seeking to employ an

ETHNIC MINORITIES FOOTBALL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

The post is externally funded for a period of two years. Salary negotiable & use of community car.

Apply in writing, sending a CV and supporting letter to:-

Geoff Lomas, BWFC Community Reebok Stadium, Bolton, BL6 6JW

The successful applicant will need:-

- FA Coaching certificate
- 2 years coaching experience
- An understanding of issues relating to the under-representation among ethnic minority groups within football
- experience of working within an education setting
- experience of organising soccer courses

Letter & CV in by 9th April.

This is an advertisement which appeared last month in The Bury Times, placed by Bolton Wanderers Football Club. Comment seems unnecessary.

Party in Kent voted against the guidelines, though they were nevertheless adopted.

* * *

TEN white and Bangladeshi members of gangs (aged 14-17) in Camden, North London, are being granted a free holiday by being taken to New York City as part of an "adventure, bonding and team-building" scheme.

This, according to those organising the scheme, is to "break down racial barriers and persuade them to stop fighting each other." New York has been chosen because of its history of inter-racial gang warfare.

The scheme is being funded by the Met, which is reported to be so hard up that it is closing police stations all over the place for lack of cash..

* * *

A **WOMAN** in Horley, Surrey, was given a parking ticket. The form provided with the ticket required the traffic warden issuing it to tick one of a choice of boxes describing the offending driver as 'white', 'black', 'Asian', 'other' or 'unknown'. It has not been explained why this procedure has been adopted - unless it is to give the authorities discretion to treat offenders differently according to their ethnic origin.

* * *

POLICE IN DERBY were ordered by a court to return a stolen vehicle to the thief in accordance with a long-established legal precedent that "a thief is entitled to the protection of the criminal law against the theft from him of that which he has himself stolen."

Jason Costello was arrested at the wheel of the car in 1996 but never charged, although the original number plate had been altered and a 'ringing' kit found under the seat.

IT HAS long been observed that those who ignore the lessons of history are doomed to repeat them. The lesson to be learned from the Balkan shambles is that forced co-habitation between disparate races and cultures is a certain recipe for civil war and terrorism sooner or later. But liberal bigotry seems hell-bent on imposing its 'melting-pot' dogma on all European countries, including the United Kingdom, at whatever cost to their native populations and cultural heritage. Having just emerged from Communist domination, the Balkans are now discovering that western liberalism is no less

oppressive in its conceited insistence that it provides a universal solvent of inter-racial and inter-religious differences. And yet all of history demonstrates that it does nothing of the kind; the different races and religions remain as stubbornly and sometimes murderously self-assertive as they ever were.

But liberal bigotry, by definition, is impervious to alternative viewpoints. Against all the evidence, it goes blundering on, and post-colonial history provides horrifying examples of the genocidal consequences all over the Third World as well as the Balkans.

And nearer home, what else does Euro-federalism, Scottish, Welsh and Ulster separatism and multi-racialism amount to if not the balkanisation of Britain? We have therefore to ask ourselves who are the prime movers behind this manifestly destructive policy?

USEFUL IDIOTS

We can ignore the posturing of Westminster politicians; they are merely bit-players – in Lenin's phrase, 'useful idiots' – whose actions are orchestrated by agencies outside this country. So much is evident from their 'globalism' and 'one-world' rhetoric. They invoke 'international obligations' to explain their betrayal of the national interest. They involve British troops in foreign conflicts where they have no business to be, meanwhile failing to protect white farmers in former Rhodesia (mostly of British descent) against an African dictator bent on oppressing them, and insisting there is no military solution to

IRA terrorism at home. They are the equivalent of the HIV virus in the body politic, fatally weakening national resistance to alien invasion and exploitation. Their multi-racialist legislation is the equivalent of a surrender document in the ongoing world war for national and racial survival: a war in which the 1914-18 and 1939-45

Parliament'. To use Enoch Powell's memorable phrase, the 'alien wedge' drives ever deeper into the heart of British society, aided and abetted by renegade politicians who would have merited capital punishment for equivalent treachery in this country's past European wars. Kosovan Serbs and the native Macedonians would not accuse me of

over-stating the case. 'Ethnic cleansing' is the inevitable consequence of the disregard for national and racial identity and of allowing unrestricted alien immigration.

As things stand, therefore, our politicians have condemned this country to repeat the bitterest lessons of

world history they themselves have chosen to ignore. They have ignored the lesson that outside interference in the internal quarrels of people in various regions of the world never does any good. They have ignored the lesson that the importation into a country of people of wholly different ethnic background and culture from the indigenous inhabitants only leads to instability and strife. They have ignored the lesson that appeasement of terrorists at home only encourages them and whets their appetite for yet more terrorism. They have indeed sown the wind, and in due course we shall all reap the whirlwind.

Why do politicians for ever ignore the lessons of history?

conflicts were largely self-destructive episodes. Given an impartial vantage point, future historians will recognize that fascism and communism presented far less of a menace to our people than the much more insidious evil of multi-racialism does today.

The 'ethnic Albanians' are the equivalent of the cuckoo's offspring in the Rumanian and Macedonian nests; they are exploiting a lax immigration policy to take over their host countries. Meanwhile, only this week we heard a BBC statement to the effect that 'ethnic minorities' (Asians and Afro-Caribbeans) wanted their own MPs, while some have already demanded a 'Muslim



Scene of devastation after the IRA bomb in Manchester in 1996. While British Governments are anxious to engage in military adventures all around the world where Britain has no business, they do not show any will to deal with this real enemy in our midst.

Loads of money but little value

He was sent, as usual, to a public school, where a little learning was painfully beaten into him, and from thence to the university, where it was carefully taken out of him. (Peacock, Nightmare Abbey, 1818)

The more xenophobic amongst us may be delighted to learn that foreign students are gravitating to Yale and Harvard and away from our own 'seats of learning'. However, the reasons, according to Kevin Sharpe, a history professor at Southampton, are actually quite alarming. Degree courses now cover far less ground in less depth. The quantity and quality of work set is much lower than even a decade ago. Once it was reasonable to assume that a first-year student had previously acquired the basic skills necessary to begin university studies. But today's students seem unable to tackle a book without a special course in reading and taking notes. They cannot concentrate on a lecture if there are no visual aids, and take-away handouts are provided because, once again, taking notes is beyond them.

So what, if anything, are they learning to do at school? Where, exactly, is all that money going, Mr. Blunkett?

Warning – explicit language!

"Corruption is an accepted part of daily life in India. It's something all Indians learn to live with." So says Wilima Wadhwa, an economist with the Indian Statistical Institute in Delhi. And, according to the weekly magazine *Outlook*, bribes have to be paid for birth certificates, passports, ration cards, driving licences, electricity, water and telephone connections, school and university admissions, planning permission and bank loans.

The foregoing is reproduced in the public interest, although we are aware that these disclosures may shock and profoundly disturb some of the more faint-hearted among our readers.

Bending the law

It is just possible that, due to its receiving a wholly inordinate amount of publicity, you will recall the case of Stephen Lawrence, a black youth

THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society by JUNIUS

murdered by a person or persons unknown around eight years ago. In a recent television interview, the victim's father welcomed the prospect of a change in the law which would allow suspects to be tried more than once for the same crime. The inference here was surely slanderous in so far as Mr. Neville Lawrence was suggesting that those already acquitted under the law were in fact guilty. But our main concern is with his subsequent comment in which he declared that 'nailing' Stephen's killers was fine by him so long as the introduction of double-jeopardy was not used to 'discriminate' against black people.

Since we can rest assured that the DPP will never take a decision to re-prosecute on the basis of race, it is clear that Mr. Lawrence is *himself* in favour of using the proposed change in the law only on a selective basis. How blatantly racist can you get? Had any of us come up with a similar gem – only put the other way round – we can be sure that Commissar Grieve's thought police (1st Macpherson Early Morning Tactical Assault Unit?) would have been on our doorstep immediately.

Curry on smiling

Mrs. Perveen Warsi is thought to be the richest Asian businesswoman in Britain. Her privately owned company, S&A Foods, has an annual turnover of around £80 million from the sale of ready-made curries to the supermarket chains. Even so, it would appear that demand exceeds supply, and another factory is to be opened by S&A in Newcastle-under-Lyme. Did Mrs. Warsi choose this site? Well, not exactly. Our thoughtful Trade Minister Stephen Byers twisted her arm with a grant of £5 million of our money on the grounds that it might provide 1,000 new jobs; otherwise, who knows? Mrs. Warsi might have opted for one of those low-cost high-unem-

high-unemployment areas such as Mayfair or Tunbridge Wells! As it is, the new plant will be a handy forty-five-minute cross-country drive from the original Derby factory. How very convenient for management!

Shopping tip: Slip a couple of Mrs. Warsi's curries into your trolley and tell them at the check-out that you've already paid for them!

Not just a pretty face

If you are of the male gender (give or take a couple of faulty genes) and get invited to a dinner, the chances are that a smart lounge suit, plus collar and tie, would fill the bill. That is, unless you are Michael (all things to all men) Portillo. This personage – alleged to be somebody of importance in the Tory Party – having *invited himself* to a dinner honouring Britain's richest Asians, turned up in a stunning black wool Nehru-style *sherwani* decorated in cream silk embroidery.

Sadly, the Hinduja brothers, usually much-feted guests at this event, were not there to appreciate Michael's splendid attire. A frustrating little local problem meant that they had to stay in India.

Good heavens, what went wrong!

"Far from looking back, and forming itself slavishly on the past, it is the characteristic of the European intellect to be ever on the advance. Old discoveries become the basis of new ones. It passes onward from truth to truth, connecting the whole by a succession of links, as it were, into the great chain of science which is to encircle and bind together the universe. The light of learning is shed over the labours of art. New avenues are open for the communication both of person and thought. New facilities are devised for subsistence. Personal comforts of every kind are inconceivably multiplied and brought within the reach of the

poorest. Secure of these, the thoughts travel into a nobler region than that of the senses; and the appliances of art are made to minister to the demands of an elegant taste and a higher moral culture." (From Prescott's *Conquest of Mexico*, 1843).

Who would have believed that, at the end of the day, our great 'European intellect' would opt to shoot itself in the foot and, rejecting anything even faintly suggestive of "elegant taste and higher moral culture," would slavishly worship the hideous, the alien and the degenerate.

The power, the glory and sod the faith

Imagine that you have paid, for as long as you can remember, a weekly premium to an insurance salesman only to find out, on his retirement, that your policy is worthless. You would be livid!

Well, how about this? For years, Richard Holloway took money – we would imagine quite a lot of money – courtesy of two nice little earners, namely the posts of Bishop of Edinburgh and leader of the Scottish Episcopal Church.

Last October he retired, but by no means went into oblivion. In the Spring issue of the magazine *Lesbians and Gay Christians* he tells us that Jesus was not the Son of God – that is only a myth, he says – but was nevertheless "an extraordinary man." He compares the expectations of the Christian faith with asking people to believe that the Emperor Alexander "had a three-hour piss in the sands of Arabia."

Mr. Holloway is of course entitled to believe whatever suits him. But he should reflect that lesser mortals who take money under false pretences get locked up.

Unreasonably foolish

Crown Hills Community College is a Leicester Secondary school which has 1,200 pupils, 93 per cent being Asian, many of whom regularly visit India and Pakistan, where a virulent strain of TB is known to be widespread. But Dr. Phillip Monk, consultant in communicable diseases at Leicestershire Health Authority, has refused to acknowledge any link between this and a serious outbreak of TB at the school.

Unbelievable, but true!

OLDHAM

A terrifying glimpse at our future

RACE WAR IN A LANCASHIRE TOWN REACHES A LEVEL WHICH EVEN THE MEDIA CAN'T HUSH UP

"WE have seen the multi-racial future, and it does not work." Paraphrasing George Bernard Shaw, that might well be the view of the indigenous folk of Oldham, Lancashire, as they contemplate the ghastly reality of life in a town where some 26,000 of the total population of 220,000 are Asian (this is, of course excluding Afro-Caribbeans and other non-white ethnic groups). Race war in Oldham has reached such dimensions that even the mainstream news media cannot keep the lid on it – much though they still try to sow confusion over its causes.

And there is no doubt who is doing most of the warring. The statistics speak for themselves: two thirds of the victims of racial attacks in Oldham are white, while the vast majority of the attackers are young Asians. There were 355 of these last year – a 100 per cent increase on the year before.

And police can hardly conceal the facts because they have been among the victims. P.C. Jim Kennedy, a local constable, recently described how he and a colleague were set upon when on a patrol. "We were surrounded by a gang who called us names like 'red-necks' and 'white trash'," he said. "It was a blatant racist attack.

"I used my truncheon to fend them off," he continued, "but my friend was punched and kicked to the ground. He has now retired from the force. He never really recovered. It was the unprovoked nature of the assault that really got to him."

But of course this attack was one which the *Guardian*-reading classes who bang for ever on about Stephen

Lawrence would prefer not to talk about – just like thousands of other vicious assaults on white people that are occurring every week in the multi-racial areas of Britain.

In an unusually frank report in *The Mail on Sunday* (February 11th) the appalling story of Oldham was told, illustrated with a picture of young Asians brandishing knives and a young white man who nearly lost his hand in an attack on him last year.

Dennis Clayton was going peacefully about his business one day when a mob of Asians set on him wielding baseball bats, metal bars and a machete. His hand was very nearly severed, and doctors told him he was lucky not to lose it, but he can no longer carry on his work as a roofer because he cannot clasp his fingers properly.

Dennis has said: "I'm not a racist person, but when I walk through town if I see a group of Asians I turn my head and walk on quickly because I don't know whether they are part of the gang who beat me."

And no doubt there are many other white Oldham residents who would protest that they are "not racist" but who have not been saved by that fact from vicious assaults and debilitating injuries.

Like the 66-year-old white man who was waylaid in the Werneth district of the town and punched several times by a mob shouting: "Die, you white bastard, die!" Sergeant David Cooper of the police Racial Incident Unit said: "The man was mentally destroyed by



The truth comes out.
This is the front page of *The Mail on Sunday* (February 11th this year) reporting on the mayhem in a Lancashire town

what happened. He'd always got on well with his Asian neighbours and simply couldn't understand it."

Oldham is not alone. It is merely a case of a town where the disastrous consequences of non-white immigration and multi-racialism have become particularly acute – so much so that the situation could not be ignored by the news media. There are many others – Bradford being an example, with the appalling mayhem on Easter weekend.

And yet you will not hear any of the major political parties in the coming election talking about these problems. The party heads have signed a pledge not to mention race issues. Only the British National Party (now growing fast in Oldham) will speak out and speak the truth.

Future generations will curse the politicians who have allowed this to happen.

FRIENDS OF SPEARHEAD

Friends of *Spearhead* is a support group that has been formed for the purpose of ensuring our magazine's continued survival in new circumstances in which it is going to be facing reduced sales and advertising through the British National Party network due to the decision of the party leadership to launch a new and separate magazine.

Members of Friends of *Spearhead* will be asked to pay a subscription of a minimum of £10 a month, in return for which they may, if they wish, receive five copies of each monthly issue for redistribution (by whatever means they prefer). This subscription may be paid by ordinary cheque or by direct debit, in which case details of our bank and account number will be supplied on request.

In addition, Friends of *Spearhead* will be undertaking certain other promotions, details of which will be advertised as they occur.

We hope very much that our readers and supporters, not only in the UK but around the world, will rally to help us in this new project.

Friends of *Spearhead* can be contacted at our usual address: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Read *Spearhead* on the Internet!

You can obtain the best articles and news items from *Spearhead* by visiting the *Spearhead* website at:-

www.spearhead-uk.com

MOZART IS GOOD FOR YOU

JOHN JENKINS, emeritus professor at the University of London, says that listening to Mozart – or for that matter the music of many other classical composers – can boost a child's intelligence. "It is all due to sound waves," he has explained.

Profesor Jenkins says that this claim was made by American psychologists eight years ago. They found that listening to the composer's work for just ten minutes increased the IQ scores of youngsters by eight or nine points.

Mozart was found to boost spatial reasoning power, hand-and-eye co-ordination and other 'visual' activities.

These findings, whether or not they have so far been adequately confirmed, will cause no surprise. Professor Jenkins is not the first person to connect people's musical environment with their overall performance

– SCIENTIST SAYS

in working tasks; Plato said much the same thing well over two thousand years ago.

It could well be that the climate of stupidity presently prevailing over almost every branch of British life has something to do with the moronic beat of contemporary 'rap' and other alien imports, which paralyse the brain and atrophy the spirit. Now for the protest letters!

JAMES THURGOOD




Young BNP

All BNP members from the age of 16 - 24 need to join the Young BNP. Send a photocopy or hardcopy (it will be returned) of your

party membership card and your details (name and address etc) to PO Box 28, Erith, DA8 2ZN. For those reading *Spearhead* who aren't members of the Young British National Party, membership is open to those of British or kindred European descent, above the age of 14, and not over 24. Membership subs are £20 (£10 students).

All members receive monthly Members' Bulletins.
Website: www.bnp.org.uk
Telephone: 07979 417 677



MOZART

Listening to his music can boost youngsters' intelligence, it is claimed.

Listen to nationalist radio!

Send large SAE for broadcast details to:-
LIFE RUNE BOOKS, BCM Box 6089,
London WC1N 3XX

Internet: www.natvan.com &
www.natall.com

Tyndall portrait for sale



Some British National Party supporters have got together to produce and market a framed portrait of the party's founder and present *Spearhead* publisher and editor John Tyndall (shown above). Mr. Tyndall himself neither initiated nor has taken any part in this project, much though he appreciates the gesture. Copies of the portrait are signed by him personally and also by the artist Anthony Payne.

The price per copy is £40.00 (post-free) UK only. Please make cheques or POs out to 'Save Our Sterling' and send orders to PO Box 56, Bexleyheath, Kent DA7 6ZQ. In accordance with Mr. Tyndall's wishes, all profits will go to the BNP.

BNP Rally Video


Rally '98 is the video recording of the British National Party's Annual Rally, taking place on the 29th November 1998. After a decision by the BNP not to put this film on the market, its production and distribution were taken over by *Spearhead*.

The rally was possibly the best in the party's history. It was held at a venue in the West Midlands after successful attempts had been made by political opponents, including the Board of Deputies of British Jews, to get previous bookings of venues cancelled. After many interesting reports by regional and departmental representatives, the film features speeches by Nick Griffin (then Publicity Director), Richard Edmonds (then National Organiser) and John Tyndall (then party leader). An especially touching feature is the hugely successful collection appeal by Dave Bruce, which raised a staggering £12,000 for the then all-important Euro Election Fund. Less than a month afterwards, Dave was no longer with us, having been tragically taken away by an unexpected heart attack.

See and hear the speakers at this stirring event as if you were there!

Rally '98 is available at £15.87 post-free, with a 30 per cent discount for all orders of three or over. Make cheques out to *Spearhead* Recordings and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

RALLY '98





SIR: A recent Radio Four *Today* programme scored an own-goal. The Bolshie Broadcasting Corporation (as the BBC is known to the politically aware), never missing an opportunity to degrade, deride and humiliate the indigenous British people, pompously declared: "How many of us could go into a slaughterhouse, choose a live animal, ask for a piece of it and wait for it to be killed?"

Other peoples, especially Muslims, don't have any problem. Not only are Muslims allowed to practise their primitive ritual slaughter (which is against British law), but they descend in droves on hundreds of thousands of helpless animals in orgies of bloodletting each year in 'celebration' of a religious festival during which the head of every Muslim family cuts the throat of an animal, with the rest of the family going along for the ride to enjoy the spectacle.

Meanwhile most authorities in western countries where Muslims have settled – plus bodies like the BBC of course – turn a blind eye.

Radio Four's malicious dig at the authentic British could not have more glaringly illustrated the enormous cultural and religious gulf existing between the races in this country.

SYLVIA NOBLE (Mrs.)
Colne, Lancs.

SIR: In an article about the armed forces that appeared in the March issue of *Spearhead*, you said: "We can only hope and pray that, with the present lunatics in charge of British defence policy, this country does not find itself in a serious war with a powerful and dangerous enemy." The reality behind these words put me in mind of Anthony Beevor's *Stalingrad* – and if any of your readers are not yet acquainted with this book may I urge them to get hold of a copy.

The German defeat at the Battle of Stalingrad in the winter of 1942/43 was a decisive (some would say *the* most decisive) event in the Second World War, and Beevor's stunning account of that battle and its associated harsh realities is well worth reading. For the principal lesson in the book is that, faced with a powerful and dangerous enemy, in order to survive one must also be powerful and dangerous. The alternative is to cease to exist.

And such an enemy wouldn't even break stride as it swept aside the gaggle of Tony Blair stereotypes that this present Government would have as an army. There's nothing wrong with soldiers as aid workers when we are dealing with a *national* dis-

aster, but it's entirely the wrong face to present to the world when our troops are sent overseas here, there and everywhere in the same capacity. Defending Britain and its vital interests is well beyond the power of aid workers – isn't that obvious to anyone with an ounce of common sense?

In the Battle of Stalingrad, Stalin was determined to halt Hitler's advance, and he threw everything into the defence of the city. A veteran Red Army officer said: "We Russians were ideologically prepared for the battle of Stalingrad. We had no illusions about the cost, and we were prepared to pay it." No less than 13,000 Red Army soldiers were executed during the battle – not by the Germans but by their own side! This was for cowardice or mere irresolution in the fight. Soldiers were even deemed guilty if they failed to shoot comrades who tried to surrender.

These are the kinds of methods that powerful and dangerous enemies use to get their way. What chance would an army of effeminate egalitarians have against that?

PAUL BUCKDEN
Dewsbury, Yorks.

SIR: You no doubt have heard of the spread of tuberculosis to Leicester. In the usual official fashion, all this is being played down.

But just examine what we have learnt.

Tuberculosis is rampant in those parts of East London where there is a density of ethnic minority populations.

Right now, Tony Blair and his Government are forcing us to accept into this country hordes of people including AIDS-infected Blacks from Africa, as well as potential TB-carriers from the Far East. And each will mix with the other in the tubercular areas.

Now this is something the authorities have not told us: The tuberculosis bacillus plays host to the AIDS virus.

They are telling us today that tuberculosis is easily cured, but when a person is cured of tuberculosis he or she could just as easily become infected with AIDS.

Our Government, with its open-door policy, is unleashing a cocktail of death for the whole of White Europe.

PETER STAFFORD
Ferndown, Dorset

SIR: Articles in *Spearhead* have made mention of the brutal excesses against white farmers in black-ruled 'Zimbabwe'. We should never forget that Mugabe and his government are Marxists. And in Marxism they seek historical precedents to justify their theft of land from white farmers. They find one in Stalin's policy in the Soviet Union to liquidate the Kulaks.

A book worth reading is *Devil's Guard*, by Robert Elford. This mentions the experience of Hans Waguemuller, who joined the French Foreign Legion and was posted to Indo-China (now Vietnam), where he fought against the Communist Viet Minh, as it was then called. Waguemuller said:-

"The Communist strategy was a simple one. The Viet Minh mobilised the impover-

ished peasantry under the slogan: "Kill the landowner and seize his land" – a rallying cry that appealed to the basic instinct of the scum. A call to murder, rape and loot always rallies the scum of any country."

The great problem with the policy – quite apart from the moral considerations – is that it doesn't work. As Mr. Beyers said in his article printed in the February issue, farming is a highly skilled job. The Africans now stealing the land in 'Zimbabwe' lack such skills. On top of that, many are lazy. They'll send out their wives to work in the fields while they drink root beer and gossip. The wives won't produce for the market; for them it will be mere subsistence farming – growing just enough to feed their own families. The land will therefore go to ruin. Food shortages will result, famine will stalk the land – and of course they'll then be telling us it's our responsibility to help out!

HARRY MULLIN
Glasgow

SIR: Gerald Webb's letter about the documentary concerning *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion* was interesting. I saw it too. As the writer makes clear, the case for the *Protocols* theme has never rested upon the question of whether, strictly speaking, there actually was a meeting of the 'Learned Elders' as suggested in the text, or whether the minutes of the meeting were taken down and subsequently released to the world. This seems an unlikely scenario, for it is hardly probable that the 'Elder' to whom the words are attributed would ever have been so explicit in his statement of the policies in question, let alone that anyone would have permitted their circulation in writing afterwards.

What gives *The Protocols* the ring of authenticity is that they describe what has actually been happening – in one country after another – over the past century or so. Even here, we should not expect these events to correspond *exactly* with what the 'Learned Elder' was supposed to have said; it should be sufficient that *in broad outline* they are uncannily similar. My guess is that the document was authored by a non-Jew who was an acutely perceptive observer of the behaviour of Jewish power groups in the world of finance and politics sometime in the second half of the 19th century, and that he decided to portray in fictional form the realities of human affairs in those times – just as, as Mr. Webb has indicated, is the habit of great serious novelists. It could well be that this author – whoever he (or she) may have been – was not a person of absolute scruple, but used a small lie to illustrate a much larger truth. The conviction of the author could well have been that, since the plans described in the document were utterly unscrupulous, a little abandonment of scruple was justified in their exposure. It could also have been that the author decided that, presented in the neat form they appeared in *The Protocols*, they would be more easily understood by the audience at which the document was aimed.

J.D. PERCIVAL
London S.E.9

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 3, Burnley, Lancs. BB11 3GN, with cheques/POs made payable to 'Freedom'.

B.N.P. PUBLICATIONS

BNP Activists' Handbook

This handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages.

£2.00 post-free.

Spreading the Word

A handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the *Activists' Handbook*. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections:- (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears.

£2.00 post-free.

Freedom for Britain and the British

The BNP's Manifesto for the 1999 Euro Election. 24 pages long, it covers all the key areas in which EU membership is undermining Britain's traditional freedoms.

£2.16 post-free.

B.N.P. LEAFLETS

Do you think?

Currently the party's best leaflet, this has been re-worked and reprinted in the hundreds of thousands. As a result, this two-sided, two-colour leaflet has come down in price by a full £1 per thousand to just £7.00 for 1,000.

Crime leaflet

With soaring crime rates hitting inner-city, suburban and rural areas alike, this leaflet's mixture of hard facts and humour should prove a winner all over the country. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Banks leaflet

This innovative approach positions the BNP as the only party campaigning for a fair deal for the many millions of customers who are sick to death of being ripped off by the banks. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Immigration leaflet

Draws attention to the shocking figures that show Britons will be a minority in their country by 2060. A real eye-opener. Price £8.00 for 1,000.

Hang Child Molesters leaflet

Ever-popular call to hang child murderers. Price £6.00 for 1,000.

Postage rates for all the above leaflets are £5.65 for 1,000 and £6.45 for 2,000. Rates for larger consignments will be supplied on request.

B.N.P. VIDEO RECORDINGS

Rally January '97

Video of the postponed 1996 BNP Annual Rally, held in London on January 25th 1997. Speeches by Dr. Donald Stevens, Nick Griffin, Richard Edmonds, John Tyndall and overseas guest José Ramos.



The Voice of Freedom is the official newspaper of the British National Party. Retail price 45p. Sample copy 64p post-free. One-year subscription (British Isles) £8.65. For details of bulk rates and other information, write to: PO Box 1032, Woodford Green, Essex IG8 9GN.

Rally November '97

Video of the second BNP rally of the year, held in Oxfordshire. Excellent regional reports, plus stirring speeches from Richard Edmonds, Nick Griffin and John Tyndall.

Prices of videos are £15.00 plus 83p p&p.

OTHER ITEMS

Key rings

BNP key rings with logo in red, white and blue are

still available at just £1.50 each. Postage 40p per order (overseas £1.00) or free for orders of over £15.00.

Flags

The BNP has the following flags in stock: Union Jack; St. George's flag; St. Andrew's flag; Welsh Dragon flag; Ulster flag. All flags are priced at £6.00. These flags should be used to decorate party meetings.

Lapel badges

Official BNP badges all in red, white and blue but in different combinations. Union Jack badges also. Price £3.00 plus 40p p&p.

T-shirts

North West London BNP is proud to present some great T-shirts which can be worn anywhere. These are illustrated: 'United we stand' (Flags of four British lands against map background of British Isles); 'These colours don't run' (with Union or St. George's flag on mast); 'I stand for justice' (Goddess of Justice with scales against background of Union Jack); 'St. George for England - April 23rd' (with drawing of St. George and the dragon). These capture the very essence of British Nationalism and cannot be recommended too highly. Only available at present in extra-large size, all are in full colour. Price £10 each including p&p. Make cheques/POs payable to 'North West Trading' and send to PO Box 128, Pinner, Middlesex HA5 1XT.

ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

The Truth at Last

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other white race patriots. *The Truth at Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening, covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. Send £5 note for trial six-month subscription to *The Truth at Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

Free Speech

Written transcripts of the regular radio broadcasts made by Dr. William L. Pierce of the American National Alliance. Of interest to white people all over the world. Appears monthly. Send US\$5 for sample copy. Subscription rates to the UK supplied on request. Write to PO Box 330, Hillshorn, WV 24946, U.S.A.

Subscribe to

Identity!

MONTHLY!

FULL COLOUR!

Identity is the official monthly magazine of the British National Party. With 16 full colour glossy pages, and a four page merchandise insert, plus articles on a wide range of topics, party news and new initiatives, *Identity* is a showcase for modern nationalism and a 'must' for dedicated activists and sympathisers alike.

The cover price of *Identity* is £1.95, but all readers of *Spearhead* can obtain their sample copies for a specially reduced post-inclusive price of just £2.00. Please make cheques or Postal Orders payable to 'Identity', and send to PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ.

AGRICOLA AND GERMANIA (Tacitus) £7.85. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and Germanic ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

... AND THE TRUTH SHALL SET YOU FREE (David Icke) £16.30. A very individual and controversial study of the global financial and political elite. 1995, 474pp.

ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (Ed Campbell) £17.80. A handsome, well illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th centuries. With leftist teachers and curriculum advisers doing all they can to undermine knowledge of, and pride in, our ancestors among the younger generation, it is important that nationalists get books like this into the hands of their growing children. 1982 (rep. 1991), 272pp.

ARTHURIAN LEGENDS, THE. £13.00. A beautifully illustrated anthology of Arthurian literature from Geoffrey of Monmouth to T.H. White. A 'must' for lovers of legend. 1979, 224pp.

ARTHUR'S BRITAIN (Leslie Alcock) £11.25. Convincing picture of life in our country between the 4th and 7th centuries, when Celtic Britain was abandoned by the Roman legions to the Picts, Scots and Anglo-Saxons. 1971 (rep. 1989), 437pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £20.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £7.85. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans. 1952 (this ed. 1991), 256pp.

BELL CURVE, THE (Richard Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £28.85. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. There is an examination of the theory that these could be accounted for by environmental influences, and this is accorded the remarkably small amount of weight it deserves. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.85. A strong plea for British independence from the EU bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH, THE (M.I. Ebbutt) £3.40. Sixteen tales of myth and legend that shaped the British consciousness. Illustrated throughout. First published in 1910 (reprint 1996), 374pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney) £9.40. Subtitled 'A history of four nations', this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of our islands. 1989, 324pp.

BY WAY OF DECEPTION (Victor Ostrovsky) £20.60. Elated to have been chosen and granted the privilege of joining the elite team of Mossad, the Israeli secret service, the writer soon learns that the organisation, with its twisted ideals and total lack of respect for human life, has turned the Zionist dream into a nightmare. 361pp.

CALL IT CONSPIRACY (Larry Abraham) £15.55. Shows how socialism is a product of the wealthy, and how money manipulation has disastrous consequences. 1985, xvii, 325pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £13.75. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate, and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates especially to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CELTIC MYTHS AND LEGENDS (D.W. Rolleston)

The prices given in this list include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage applying to overseas orders, please write first for information before ordering.

Payment should be made in sterling only and should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal or money orders should be made out to 'Freedom Books' only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'Freedom Books' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address, which is:-

Freedom Books

PO Box 20

Mansfield

Notts. NG19 6HP

*New titles are marked **

Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through Freedom Books are written by many different authors holding a number of different political and/or religious viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with Freedom Books' parent organisation, the British National Party. The party's mail order book service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all the content of the books should be taken as representative of the policies of the party. The party reserves the right to differ with some of the authors over some parts of their writings.

£3.70. About the myths and founders of Britain. First published early in the 20th century (rep. 1994), 457pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £4.50. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley) £22.80. A study of the Anglo-American relationship of 1940-57, showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £20.80. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader and the conflict in which he was at the centre. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £31.85. This massive work follows David Irving

in its raising of awkward questions concerning Churchill as a national leader, though the author is more moderate in his treatment of his subject than is Irving. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £12.55. Vitally important book which shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian, more relevant by the year! 643pp.

COME CLEAN, Mr. GABLE (Alexander Baron) £2.00. Shows how Gerry Gable of *Searchlight* magazine exploits the saga of the Stephen Lawrence murder but has used for his own purposes exactly the same sort of violent hoodlums as those who committed it. Seven pp. (A4).

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.75. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country. 1966, 76pp.

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £20.80. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRIMES AND MERCIES (James Bacque) £10.25. About the shocking treatment of German civilians under the post-war allied occupation, 1945-50. The hidden story of the biggest 'ethnic cleansing' in European history. 288pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.50. An important work on the international money power and its threat to the freedom of nations. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1992, 72pp.

DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr) £9.30. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmut Robertson) £19.35. This book has attracted world-wide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America (and not just between Blacks and Whites). A comprehensive introduction to the subject very relevant to Britain. 1981, 613pp.

ÉLITE: THE STORY OF THE RHODESIAN SPECIAL AIR SERVICE (Barbara Cole) £13.30. About the campaign against terrorism waged by the Rhodesian equivalent of Britain's SAS. 1984, 449pp.


ELEVENTH HOUR, THE (John Tyndall) Hardback £29.15; paperback £20.82. Comprehensive statement of the case for modern British Nationalism. A partly autobiographical account of the author's origins, first political stirrings and subsequent work in building up the British National party. A 'must' for all BNP supporters. First published in 1986, this is an updated edition published in 1998. 537pp.

EUROPE'S FULL CIRCLE: CORPORATE ÉLITES AND THE NEW FASCISM (Rodney Atkinson) £9.20. The book argues that European Union is fascist and corporate in inspiration. Discusses the Bilderberg Group, the CIA and internationalists like Arnold Toynbee and Joseph Reitlinger. 1996, 149pp.

FACING THE ABYSS (A.K. Chesterton) £2.35. A graphic portrayal of our political and social life by one of the outstanding figures of post-war British Nationalism. 1976, 118pp.

FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE (Nesta Webster) £17.25. Reprint of the classic that exposes the plotting behind the first great bloodbath of modern history. 1919 (reprint 1988), 519pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkett, Mark Bainbridge & Stephen Reed) £2.00. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European



A ghastly insight into Mossad, the Israeli secret service (see this page)

Union. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY, STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £13.40. How the United Nations is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and freedoms. 1992, xvii, 350pp.

GRIP OF DEATH, THE (Michael Rowbottom) £16.75. Fine study of modern money, debt slavery and destructive economics. 1990, 337pp.

GREAT AMERICAN BETRAYAL, THE (Patrick Buchanan) £16.85. How American national sovereignty and social justice are being sacrificed to the gods of the global economy. A powerful plea for national freedom and economic nationalism with great relevance to the UK. 1998, 376pp.

HATE COMES TO TOWN (Alexander Baron) £2.35. The lies and poison of the *Searchlight* 'Educational' Trust, documented, exposed and deconstructed. 1999, 25pp (A4).

HEREDITY AND HUMANITY (Roger Pearson) £10.75. Covers race, eugenics and modern science. A scholarly but eminently readable criticism of egalitarianism by the holder of a PhD in Anthropology. 1996, 162pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £11.45. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'New World Order'. 1993, 233pp.

HOLLYWOOD PARTY (Kenneth Billingsley) £18.85. The story of the attempted communist takeover of Hollywood in the 1930s and 1940s. The truth about the 'Blacklist' and the HUAC hearings. A 'must' for students of media lies. 1998, 365pp.

IDEOLOGY OF OBSESSION (David Baker) £36.55. Study of the life and thought of A.K. Chesterton, one of the major figures in British Nationalism from the 1930s to the 1970s. Though not supportive, generally fair. 1996, xiii, 250pp.

JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £13.00. An absolutely vital book by a brave Israeli professor at Jerusalem University. A real eye-opener on Zionism. 118pp.

KILLING OF THE COUNTRYSIDE, THE (Graham Harvey) £8.85. A former farming journalist describes the havoc wrought by subsidised 'agri-business' on the environment of rural Britain, on the taxpayers and on the rapidly shrinking number of independent farmers. A truly shocking but important book. 194pp.

LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £9.00. The disgraceful story of how the anti-communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over by the western allies to Stalin for slaughter between 1944 and 1947. 304pp.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £9.25. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

MAN AND TECHNICS (Oswald Spengler) £4.50. Sub-titled 'A Contribution to a Philosophy of Life', this book argues that the world is governed by natural forces in man and nature, rather than by genteel political and religious creeds. First published circa 1930 (rep. 1992), 73pp.

MANY SHADES OF BLACK (John Bean) £9.45. Political autobiography of one of Britain's leading nationalists of the 1950s and 1960s. The writer describes the major developments in the patriotic movement in those times, together with his own assessment of the issues over which it was sometimes divided. 252 pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £5.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

MY AWAKENING (David Duke) £18.85* Perhaps America's foremost nationalist political leader of the present day, the author gives an account of his background, his political development and the stirring campaigns he has fought in, including the one that resulted in his being elected

Fascinating story of one of America's most controversial political figures (see this page)

MY AWAKENING



DAVID DUKE

to the Louisiana Legislature. 1998, 717pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A. Ramsay) £9.10. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War. First published 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS, THE (Laszo F. Thomay) £8.60. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate nor bigotry but on natural universal laws. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £12.75. An exposure of the financial powers that seek to drag mankind into a police state. 1972, 288pp.

ONE WORLD, READY OR NOT? (William Greider) £11.25. Subtitled 'The Manic Logic of Global Capitalism', this book demonstrates the threat posed by the global economy to the economies and societies of individual nations by currency transfers and the shifting of production to low-wage countries. A demolition of fashionable economic dogma by a mainstream author. 1997, 582pp.

OTHER SIDE OF DECEPTION, THE (Victor Ostrovsky) Hardback £20.00; paperback £8.95. In this sequel to *By Way of Deception*, the rogue agent exposes Mossad's secret agenda and tells the truth about the death of Robert Maxwell and the near-assassination of George Bush Snr. 300pp.

PHILOSOPHY OF NATIONALISM, THE (C.C. Josey) £11.60. An eminent scholar argues that civilisation is based on ethnically homogeneous nations. 1923 (rep. 1983), 227pp.

POPULISM AND ÉLITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.75. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements that have wielded it in the 20th century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. £1.00. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20pp (A4).

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £5.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £5.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate for many years. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACES OF BRITAIN, THE (John Beddoe) £18.85. A reprint of a scholarly work on the Celts, Anglo-Saxons, Vikings and Normans, with information on related peoples of Europe. 1885 (rep. 1983), vi, 273pp.

RACE PROBLEMS AND HUMAN PROGRESS (W.C. George) £4.50. Shows how the racial egalitarian dogma is flawed, despite the influential forces promoting it. 1967, 80pp.

RESTORATION OF PROPERTY, THE (Hillaire Belloc) £4.00. Reprint of the 1936 classic by the co-founder of the Distributive movement. Trenchant criticism of both capitalism and commun-

ism. 1984, 78pp.

REVOLUTION FROM THE MIDDLE (Dr. Samuel Francis) £7.35. A series of incisive essays arguing that white patriots should adopt insurgent rather than conservative strategies to defend their culture and values. Although it is written for Americans, this book contains many invaluable lessons for British Nationalists also. 1997, 250pp.

ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £11.55. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

STANDBEARERS. £7.50. Subtitled 'British Roots of the New Right', this is a collection of essays on 20 political and cultural heroes for British Nationalists; showing that we do not have to look abroad for inspiration in such matters. 1999, 176pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Brian B. Monahan) £9.25. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE (Brian Burkitt & Others) £5.40. A cost-benefit analysis of British membership of the EU and a listing of the alternatives. 1996, 111pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20. A superb exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. A glimpse 'behind the scenes' of international politics by a renowned expert. 1987, 61pp.

TO RESTRAIN THE RED HORSE: THE URGENT NEED FOR RADICAL ECONOMIC REFORM (Alan D. Armstrong) £13.05. A businessman discusses the origins of our money system, its social and ecological consequences and some proposals for its reform. 1996, 137pp.

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £9.25. Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation-state and points out the perils of global free trade, particularly with the Far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £4.75. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Tory Government in signing the Maastricht Treaty. 1994, 123pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA, THE (Ivor Benson) £5.25. Describes the effects of the 'Wind of Change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. The writer once worked as a public relations adviser for Ian Smith. 3rd ed. 1995, xv, 92pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £8.10. An exposure of the links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution of 1917 are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £11.55. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926 (rep. 1983), 320pp.

WAR AND THE BREED (David Jordan) £9.60. Fine reprint of a remarkably far-seeing book, first published in 1915, which argued that the war then raging would do incalculable damage to the genetic make-up of Britain and Europe. Disturbing but fascinating. 1981, 127pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred Lilienthal) £22.85. Sensational and courageous exposé of Zionist power politics by a non-Zionist Jew. 1982, 904pp.

BNP polls 17 per cent in Newham

MICHAEL DAVIDSON, standing as British National Party candidate in the Beckton Ward, won 163 votes in a by-election for the Newham Borough Council in East London, held on the 29th March. In a small area this amounted to an impressive 17 per cent of the poll. It constituted more than double the Tory vote – a miserable 8 per cent.

Michael Davidson's vote would have been even better but for the intervention of a new non-party group, the Christian All-

iance, which fought an energetic campaign and scooped up a considerable portion of the protest vote.

Readers may better appreciate the nature of the BNP achievement when they consider that the area in question is a highly dangerous one for Whites these

days, particularly Whites associated with the BNP. Canvassing in the area back in 1994, Michael Davidson was subjected to a vicious and cowardly attack by left-wing militants and lost the sight of his right eye as a result. Undeterred, he has remained active in the area ever since, and was out canvassing along with others in the run-up to the recent election. Michael's courage is a byword in the BNP and an inspiration to us all. Also working hard in the campaign was local organiser Dave Hill.



MICHAEL DAVIDSON

Good Coventry meeting

The Coventry Branch of the BNP held a good meeting last month, with BNP Chairman Nick Griffin as main guest speaker. An audience of about 30 first heard an activity report from local organiser Mark Matthews. This was followed by speeches from Dr. Phil Edwards, the party's press officer, and John Sheppard, BNP prospective parliamentary candidate for Coventry North East in the coming general election.

Nick Griffin made the final speech and the meeting was rounded off by a collection of £139.00. In addition, ten local activists contributed £50 each to make up the election deposit of £500. Great gesture!

LEWISHAM GEARS UP FOR ELECTION

The Lewisham & Bromley Branch of the BNP, one of the party's most enterprising, held a very successful pre-election meeting on April 11th, chaired by Barry Roberts, prospective parliamentary candidate for Lewisham East.

First to speak was local organiser Richard Brook, who gave a report of the branch's recent activities and progress. He was followed by Lee White, who spoke about national affairs, saying how much Britain's decline had appalled him and how great was the need for the BNP.

After a brief break and a collection, the party's Publicity Director Paul Golding made the final speech. He slammed the Tory Party for trying to 'con' voters and pointed out how leader Hague had stated that he looked forward to seeing a black prime minister. He ended by stressing the BNP's duty to save European civilisation and to "secure a future for our country and our people!"

The Eleventh Hour

The Eleventh Hour has been described as the 'Bible' of the British National Party. First published in 1988, the latest (1998) edition has been updated to take in the many new developments on the political scene occurring since. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains extensive analyses of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

The Eleventh Hour runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

Price: Hardback £25.00 plus £4.15 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.32 p&p. Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £26.00 ☐; Air mail Europe £28.00 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £34.00 ☐.


PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes, these paying for banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

JOHN TYNDALL



The book you must read if you want to find out what John Tyndall and the BNP stand for

ON THE CAMPAIGN TRAIL

BNP candidates gear up for coming general election

WITH the general election now almost certain to be held on the 7th June (as we go to press; it will probably be confirmed by the time these words are read), the British National Party is now on what might be called 'Red Alert'. It has been decided that the party will be targeting to contest up to about 25 parliamentary seats over England and Wales (none in Scotland, as far as we are aware).

One of the most impressive pieces of campaign material that we have seen is a special warm-up leaflet produced in support of Barry Roberts, the party's candidate in Lewisham North East. Called *Lewisham Patriot*, it is a one-fold, four-page A4-size pro-

duction, is attractively illustrated and gives a comprehensive coverage of the issues, both local and national, on which Barry will be campaigning. This item was not produced cheaply, and the party is indebted to those who dug into their pockets to meet the printing bill. With such a promotional aid, Barry really deserves to do well.

TYNDALL IN MORDEN

John Tyndall, founder of the BNP and party leader from 1982 to 1999 and currently editor of *Spearhead*, has been asked to be the party's candidate in the Mitcham & Morden constituency of South London. Recently he has been campaigning in the area

and has found that support for the BNP is definitely on the increase. The party has won quite a lot of publicity locally over its opposition to asylum-seekers – particularly in respect of the allocation of Hall Place, a former care centre, to the so-called 'refugees'.

BURNLEY CANDIDATE

Steve Smith, the BNP candidate for Burnley & Pendle (Lancs.), has kept himself regularly in the news with letters to the local paper. More recently, he had erected a large poster on a chimney-



Above: Campaigning in Morden. Candidate John Tyndall is seen here outside Hall Place, a former care centre now allocated to asylum-seekers. Below: The slogan in the picture appeared on an old chimney-stack in Burnley (Lancs.).



LEWISHAM PATRIOT

www.bnp.org.uk
lewisham@bnp.to
Tel: 0208 318 7445

PROTEST!

We live in Britain, but it no longer belongs to us!

WHY? We are becoming refugees in our own land!

Vote for BARRY ROBERTS - your British National Party prospective Parliamentary Candidate for Lewisham North East. It's time to put the British people FIRST!

VOTE BARRY ROBERTS - BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY!

A message from local man Barry Roberts:

With the Tories in chaos and the Lib-Dems in his pocket, the ever-smiling Tony Blair and his Cabinet can afford to look smug - they do not suffer from rising crime or the ever increasing yob culture. With his private security, Blair is unaffected by the appalling state of our demoralised Metropolitan Police. Blair and his cronies' high incomes allow them to enjoy a luxurious lifestyle while the elderly can barely afford the essentials. The doors to our small island have been opened to millions of immigrants and so-called asylum seekers, even though we do not possess the space or resources to cater for them. We are under siege in our own land while politicians pander to all and sundry, but leave ordinary Britons to fend for ourselves. The traitors and con artists in Parliament 'save' us down once too often. **IT'S TIME TO ACT.**

VOTE BARRY ROBERTS - BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY!

Printed and published by Lewisham & Bromley British National Party, PO Box 73, Bromley, SE18 2SD

The Roberts leaflet: called *Lewisham Patriot*, it is a really excellent 'warmer' for the coming election.

Swansea group formed

BNP Chairman Nick Griffin was guest speaker at the launch of a new group in Swansea (South Wales) last month. About 20 were in attendance at the meeting, which received big publicity locally due to left-wing groups agitating that it should be banned, and subsequently mounting rowdy demonstrations against it.

In his speech Mr. Griffin dealt with the curse of de-industrialisation and its demoralising effects on South Wales.

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, PO-Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested – in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thanks.

Yet another great British industry goes to the wall

IAN BUCKLEY reports from Merseyside on the demise of Cammell Laird

IN the February issue of *Spearhead* I forecast the closure of the once-thriving shipyard of Cammell Laird on Merseyside. This prediction unfortunately proved to be all too accurate, with management calling in the receivers on April 11th. The workers who filed out of the gates to face an uncertain future are merely the latest victims after the miners and steel-workers of a British Government (I don't distinguish between any of the recent ones) which seems to take delight in waging deliberate economic violence against its own citizens. Next in line are the farmers, we may presume...

You want proof of governmental neglect or worse? Last October the Ministry of Defence ignored requests from Cammell Laird for it to be given an order to build some military ferries. But the Ministry, which of course is already fully informed about the financial plight of the Birkenhead shipbuilders, preferred instead to give the order to a German yard.

A situation comparable with many other examples, but especially with the sale of Rolls Royce. Would any other country in the world, however poor and humble, behave in

such an asinine and suicidal way? Likewise the Department of Trade and Industry failed to provide any help with a funding deal when the American firm Luxus wanted to have two cruise ships built by Cammell Laird. Just as much as the fiasco with the refitting of the *Costa Classica*, what really finally doomed Lairds was capital debt and interest repayments. Again, we ask: why should the Government be prepared to see industries go to the wall when they could be saved so easily by cheap loans or by an industrial bank?

Those in power are still willing, after all that has gone before, to see yet

more major assets pass out of British control. Just a few weeks ago, Powergen – almost one half of the entire electrical generating capacity of this country – was taken over by the German firm of E.ON. The sum paid by E.ON was £10 billion, all very fine and dandy for the short-term financial interests of the management and shareholders, of course. But what do takeovers like that imply for the long-term future of these islands? In the 'division of labour' for the new global order, are we already marked down as just an off-shore European cheap labour colony?

The men leaving Lairds after the receivers were called in were scathing in their condemnation of the ineptitude and downright malevolence that had caused their plight. Birkenhead would be a ghost town, they said. Another shouted "New Labour, you've had your last votes here!"

It seems that the public are ready for change – absolutely at the end of their tether with the two main parties. Both of which are equally and completely loathed, despised and hated for their craven and absolute submission to globalism.



LATEST FOR THE SCRAPHEAP
Workers at Cammell Laird

ONLY THROUGH BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY POLICIES CAN BRITISH INDUSTRY BE RESTORED AND FULL EMPLOYMENT GUARANTEED

For more information on the British National Party, write and send 50p to:-

**The Secretary
PO Box 287
Waltham Cross
Herts. EN8 8ZU
Tel. 0700 900 2671**

Name.....

Address.....

.....

.....

I enclose donation of.....

You can make a photocopy of this page or use a plain piece of paper if you don't want to cut up your copy of *Spearhead*.